arXiv:1208.2342v1 [math.AP] 11 Aug 2012

OPTIMAL HARDY-TYPE INEQUALITY FOR SECOND-ORDER ELLIPTIC OPERATOR AND APPLICATIONS

BAPTISTE DEVYVER, MARTIN FRAAS, AND YEHUDA PINCHOVER

Abstract. For a general subcritical second-order elliptic operator P in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (or noncompact manifold), we construct Hardy-weight W which is *optimal* in the following sense. The operator $P - \lambda W$ is subcritical in Ω for all $\lambda < 1$, null-critical in Ω for $\lambda = 1$, and supercritical near any neighborhood of infinity in Ω for any $\lambda > 1$. Moreover, in the symmetric case, if $W > 0$, then the spectrum and the essential spectrum of $W^{-1}P$ are equal to $[1, \infty)$.

Our method is based on the theory of positive solutions and applies to both symmetric and nonsymmetric operators. The constructed Hardy-weight is given by an explicit simple formula involving two distinct positive solutions of the equation $Pu = 0$, the existence of which depends on the subcriticality of P in Ω.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B09; Secondary 35J08, 35J20, 35P05.

Keywords. Agmon metric, ground state, Hardy inequality, Logarithmic Caccioppoli inequality, minimal growth, positive solutions, Rellich-type inequality, Weighted Poincaré inequality.

1. Introduction

Let P be a *symmetric* and *nonnegative* second-order elliptic operator with real coefficients which is defined on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and let q be the associated quadratic form defined on $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. A *Hardy-type inequality* with a weight $W \geq 0$ has the form

$$q(\varphi) \geq C \int_\Omega W(x)|\varphi(x)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \tag{1.1}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. The inequality aims to quantify the positivity of P in terms of a weight W. First it divides the class of nonnegative operators into two distinct classes. A nonnegative operator P is called *critical* in Ω if the inequality $q(\varphi) \geq 0$ cannot be improved, meaning that (1.1) holds true if and only if $W \equiv 0$. On the other hand, when (1.1) holds with a nontrivial W, then the operator is *subcritical* in Ω. Two natural problems related to the optimality of the inequality are then widely studied.

(P1) For a given weight W, find the largest constant C for which (1.1) holds true. Such a constant, which depends on the triple (P, W, Ω), is called the *optimal constant* (or the *generalized principal eigenvalue*).
(P2) For $C = 1$, find a nonnegative weight W which is "as large as possible" near infinity in Ω.

The first problem has a unique answer, however the problem itself is interesting only if we focus on certain classes of weights W with some intrinsic qualities. The second problem, posed by Shmuel Agmon in [3, Page 6], seeks for weights with such qualities.

We start our discussion with a classical example which demonstrates the expected features that the requested weights should satisfy.

Example 1.1. The classical Hardy inequality is associated with the Laplace operator $P := -\Delta$ on the punctured space $\Omega^\star := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $n \geq 3$, and reads as

$$\int_{\Omega^\star} |\nabla\varphi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \geq C_H \int_{\Omega^\star} \frac{\varphi^2(x)}{|x|^2} \,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega^\star), \tag{1.2}$$

where $C_H := \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 > 0$.

Hardy inequality (1.2) has the following important functional (or equivalently, spectral) properties which justify that $W(x) := C_H|x|^{-2}$ deserves the title of "optimal weight":

(a) The operator $P - W$ is *critical* in $\Omega^\star$; that is, the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega^\star} |\nabla\varphi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \geq \int_{\Omega^\star} V(x)\varphi^2(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega^\star)$$

is not valid for any $V \gneqq W$. In particular, C_H is the optimal constant.
(b) C_H is also optimal for test functions supported in any fixed punctured neighborhood of either 0 or ∞.
(c) The operator $P - W$ is *null-critical* in Ω; that is, the corresponding Rayleigh-Ritz variational problem

$$\inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_P^{1,2}(\Omega^\star)} \left\{ \frac{q(\varphi)}{\int_{\Omega^\star} W(x)|\varphi(x)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x} \right\} \tag{1.3}$$

admits no minimizer.

Moreover, the spectrum and the essential spectrum of the Friedrichs extension of the operator $-W^{-1}\Delta$ on $L^2(\Omega^\star, W\,\mathrm{d}x)$ are equal to $[1, \infty)$.

To discuss the relevance of properties (a) - (c) to problems (P1) and (P2), we define a set $\mathcal{W}$ of all nonzero, nonnegative potentials such that (1.1) holds with $C = 1$. Then $\mathcal{W}$ is a convex set, which is nontrivial if and only if P is subcritical in Ω. Moreover, a potential W is an extremal point of $\mathcal{W}$ if and only if (a) holds. However, such critical W might be compactly supported in Ω (see Proposition 2.6), and in particular, it does not provide any information on the behavior of P near infinity. Properties (b), (c) (and the assertion on the essential spectrum) complement (a) in this respect.

The above discussion leads us to the following *temporary* definition.

Definition 1.2 (cf. Definition 2.10)**.** A weight $W \in \mathcal{W}$ is called an *optimal Hardy-weight* for a symmetric subcritical operator P in Ω if (1.1) satisfies properties (a) - (c) of the classical Hardy inequality (1.2).

The aim of the present paper is to construct an optimal Hardy-weight W for a general (not necessarily symmetric) subcritical elliptic operator P defined on a domain Ω (or on a noncompact manifold). The constructed weight W is given *explicitly*, it is obtained using a classical positive supersolution method. Surprisingly, the proof that properties (a) - (c) hold true for W is simple. Let us now explain our construction and main results.

We recall that the relationships between spectral (or equivalently, functional) properties of a *symmetric* operator P defined on Ω to properties of positive solutions of the equation $Pu = 0$ is well understood using the Agmon-Allegretto-Piepenbrink (AAP) theory [4, 35]. In particular, the existence of a positive supersolution v of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω is equivalent to the nonnegativity of the quadratic form $q(\varphi) := (P\varphi, \varphi)$ on $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, and hence (assuming $W > 0$ in Ω) equivalent to the nonnegativity of the spectrum of the associated symmetric operator $W^{-1}P$. Moreover, the existence of a positive supersolution v of the equation $Pu = 0$ in a neighborhood of infinity in Ω is equivalent to the nonnegativity of the corresponding essential spectrum [14]. Note that a (sufficiently smooth) supersolution v of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω is readily a positive solution of the equation $(P - W)u = 0$, where $W := Pv/v$ is a nonnegative potential. Applying the (AAP) theory to the operator $P - W$, we get the following Hardy-type inequality

$$q(\varphi) \geq \int_\Omega W\varphi^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \tag{1.4}$$

We call $W := Pv/v$ a *Hardy-weight associated with the supersolution* v.

A simple way to construct new positive supersolutions is given by the following procedure that we call the *supersolution construction.* Let v_0 and v_1 be two linearly independent positive (super)solutions of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω. Then for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, the function

$$v_\alpha := v_0^{1-\alpha} v_1^\alpha$$

is a positive supersolution of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω, thus yielding a Hardy-weight $W_\alpha := Pv_\alpha/v_\alpha$. In particular, if the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω admits two linearly independent positive (super)solutions, then P is subcritical in Ω.

Without loss of generality we always assume that $x_0 = 0 \in \Omega$, and we denote $\Omega^\star := \Omega \setminus \{0\}$.

Our main result below states that if one chooses two positive solutions v_0, and v_1 appropriately in $\Omega^\star$, then for $\alpha = 1/2$, the corresponding constructed potential $W_{1/2}$ is an *optimal Hardy-weight in* $\Omega^\star$ (for the precise formulation see Theorem 2.11). More precisely, for *symmetric* operators we have:

Theorem 1.3. *Let P be a symmetric subcritical operator in Ω, and let $G(x) := G_P^\Omega(x,0)$ be its minimal positive Green function with a pole at $0 \in \Omega$. Let u be a positive solution of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω satisfying*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{G(x)}{u(x)} = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

where ∞ is the ideal point in the one-point compactification of Ω. Consider the supersolution

$$v := \sqrt{Gu}.$$

Then the associated Hardy-weight $W := Pv/v$ is optimal *with respect to P and the punctured domain $\Omega^\star$ in the following sense:*

- *The operator $P-W$ is* null-critical in $\Omega^\star$, *that is, $P-W$ is critical in $\Omega^\star$, and the corresponding Rayleigh-Ritz variational problem admits no minimizer.*
- *The constant 1 is optimal near zero and infinity: for any $\lambda > 1$ the equation $(P - \lambda W)u = 0$ does not admit any positive solution neither in any neighborhood of infinity in Ω, nor in any punctured neighborhood of 0.*

Suppose further that W is positive in $\Omega^\star$, then the spectrum and the essential spectrum of the Friedrichs extension of the operator $W^{-1}P$ on $L^2(\Omega^\star, W\,\mathrm{d}x)$ is equal to $[1,\infty)$.

We illustrate our construction and proof of Theorem 1.3 with a proof that the classical Hardy inequality (1.2) indeed satisfies all the assertions of the theorem.

Example 1.4 (Example 1.1 continued)**.** Let $P = -\Delta$ be the Laplace operator on $\Omega^\star := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, where $n \geq 3$, and denote by $G(x) := |x|^{2-n}$ the corresponding positive minimal Green function with a pole at zero (up to a multiplicative constant).

Consider the positive superharmonic function in $\Omega^\star$

$$v(x) := \sqrt{G(x)\mathbf{1}} = G(x)^{1/2} = |x|^{(2-n)/2}.$$

Using the above construction, we obtain that the corresponding Hardy-weight is equal to $C_H|x|^{-2}$, and by the (AAP) theory we get the classical Hardy inequality (1.2).

To prove that we indeed obtain an *optimal* Hardy-weight, we analyze the oscillatory properties of the corresponding radial equation

$$-u'' - \frac{n-1}{r}u' - \lambda\frac{u}{r^2} = 0 \qquad r \in (0,\infty), \tag{1.6}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that (1.6) is Euler's equation. Set $\lambda = \eta C_H$. Then for $\eta \neq 1$ two linearly independent solutions of (1.6) are given by

$$u_\pm(r) = r^{(2-n)/2}\big(r^{(2-n)/2}\big)^{\pm\sqrt{1-\eta}}, \tag{1.7}$$

while for $\eta = 1$ two linearly independent solutions of (1.6) are expressed by

$$u_+(r) = r^{(2-n)/2}, \quad u_-(r) = r^{(2-n)/2}\log(r^{2-n}). \tag{1.8}$$

The difference in the structure of the solutions for $\eta < 1$, $\eta = 1$ and $\eta > 1$ cannot be over-stressed.

For $\eta < 1$ both solutions are positive, and therefore, the operator $P - \eta C_H|x|^{-2}$ is subcritical in $\Omega^\star$.

On the other hand, for $\eta = 1$ only u_+ is positive, and moreover, it is dominated by $|u_-|$ near both ends $r = 0$ and $r = \infty$. By Proposition 4.1 we infer that u_+ is a *ground state* and the operator $P - W$ is critical in $\Omega^\star$, where $W := -\Delta(u_+)/u_+ = C_H|x|^{-2}$ is the corresponding Hardy-weight.

Furthermore, an elementary calculation shows that for $\eta = 1$ we have that the ground state $u_+(r) = r^{(2-n)/2}$ is not in $L^2(\Omega^\star, W\,\mathrm{d}x)$, which shows the null-criticality of the Hardy operator $-\Delta - C_H|x|^{-2}$ in $\Omega^\star$.

Finally, for $\eta > 1$ the solution of (1.6) given by

$$\mathfrak{Re}\{u_+(r)\} = r^{(2-n)/2}\cos\left[\frac{\sqrt{\eta-1}}{2}\log(r^{2-n})\right] \tag{1.9}$$

oscillates near zero and near infinity, and therefore, the best possible constant for the validity of the Hardy inequality in any neighborhood of either the origin or infinity is also C_H. In particular, the bottom of the spectrum and the bottom of the essential spectrum of the corresponding weighted Laplacian (with weight $C_H^{-1}|x|^2$) is equal 1.

The entire (essential) spectrum of the operator $\tilde{P} := C_H^{-1}|x|^2(-\Delta)$ is obtained by an explicit spectral representation of the operator $\tilde{P}$ restricted to the radial functions, using the *Mellin transform*. Denote by $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star, W\,\mathrm{d}x)$ the subspace of radially symmetric functions in $L^2(\Omega^\star, W\,\mathrm{d}x)$. Recall that the Mellin transform $\mathcal{M} : L^2(0,\infty) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the unitary operator defined by

$$\mathcal{M}f(\xi) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_0^\infty f(r)r^{\mathrm{i}\xi-\frac{1}{2}}\,\mathrm{d}r.$$

In fact, the composition of the unitary operator

$$L^2\Big((0,\infty), r^{n-1}\frac{C_H}{r^2}\,\mathrm{d}r\Big) \to L^2(0,\infty); \quad f(r) \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{|n-2|}}{2}f(r^{1/(n-2)}),$$

and the Mellin transform, gives a unitary operator

$$\mathfrak{U} : L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star, W\,\mathrm{d}x) \cong L^2\Big((0,\infty), r^{n-1}\frac{C_H}{r^2}\,\mathrm{d}r\Big) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

which is a spectral representation for $\tilde{P}$ restricted to radial functions. In this representation, $\tilde{P}$ is just the multiplication by $(1 + 4\xi^2)$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that due to (1.6) and (1.7) (with $\xi = \sqrt{\eta - 1}/2$), we have

$$\left(C_H^{-1}|x|^2(-\Delta) - (4\xi^2+1)\right)\left(r^{n-2}\right)^{\mathrm{i}\xi-\frac{1}{2}} = 0. \tag{1.10}$$

The proof of the main result (Theorem 2.11) in the *general* case is based on similar considerations and calculations. Loosely speaking, to obtain the general result, we just replace $r^{(2-n)}$ in equations (1.7), (1.8), (1.9), and (1.10) by G/u (cf. lemmas 3.6 and 5.1).

Criticality theory, which is the qualitative theory of positive solutions of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω for a general nonsymmetric second-order elliptic operator P with real coefficients (see Section 2), extends the functional/spectral formulation of nonnegativity. We show that when problems (P1) - (P2) are interpreted in the terminology of criticality theory, our results apply to a general nonsymmetric second-order elliptic operator P in a general domain Ω. In particular, all statements of Theorem 1.3 (interpreted in the terminology of criticality theory), excluding the statement about the whole spectrum, hold true for such a general P. However, the relation to the *integral* Hardy-type inequality (1.1) is lost in the nonsymmetric case.

The classical positive supersolution approach to spectral problems and variational inequalities was studied by many authors [3, 8, 21, 28, 31, 32, and the references therein]. The idea of using the Green function to get, albeit via integral identities, an optimal Hardy-type inequality appears in a few recent papers for a *symmetric* divergence form operator (without a potential), see for example [2, 10, 11, 12, and references therein]. For the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Riemannian manifolds such an approach was used by Carron in [10] to provide Hardy-type inequalities in particular for minimal hypersurfaces of an Euclidean space, and for submanifolds of Cartan-Hadamard manifolds. Carron's results have been later rediscovered by Li and Wang [25], where the authors give also applications to structure theorems for complete manifolds. Compared to these results, we provide a novel nonvariational method that applies to a general operator P satisfying minimal regularity assumptions. Furthermore, the (null)-criticality of $P - W$, the optimality near infinity, and the characterization of the (essential) spectrum of the weighted operator, seem to be new even in the case of the Laplace (let alone Schrödinger) operators on domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Riemannian manifolds. For recent results concerning sharp Hardy inequalities see for example [6, 7, 19, 24, and references therein].

We note that some results of the present paper have been recently announced by the authors in [15].

The outline of the present paper is as follows. In the following section, we provide a short review of the theory of positive solutions and formulate precisely our main result in the nonsymmetric case (Theorem 2.11). Section 3 explains in detail the supersolution construction of Hardy-weights. Sections 4, 5, 6 and 7 are then devoted to the four-steps proof of Theorem 2.11 (see, theorems 4.2, 5.2, 6.2, and 7.4). Our main result deals with a weight W which has an isolated singularity in Ω, in Section 8 we describe how our methods and results can be extended to the case of solutions with boundary singularities. In Section 9, we study the case of a symmetric subcritical operator which is defined on a manifold M with N ends, where $N \geq 2$. It turns out that in this case, the supersolution construction produces an $(N-1)$-parameter family of *critical* Hardy-weights (see Theorem 9.3). Finally, in Section 10 we discuss some examples, extensions, and applications. In particular, we discuss the completeness of Agmon's metric associated with optimal Hardy-weights, Rellich-type inequalities, decay estimates of solutions, and some generalizations to quasilinear equations.

As was mentioned above, the proofs of the main results of the present paper hinges on a one-variable approach. Indeed, it is based on a thorough analysis of a space of "radial" generalized eigenfunctions. This is particularly evident in Section 7, where the appropriate "radial" space is defined. To further elaborate this point, we consider in the Appendix, the class of Schrödinger operators with radially symmetric potentials defined on radially symmetric domains and study the corresponding radial solutions, and present a purely ODE proof of some of our results for this important case.

Notation: Throughout the paper and without loss of generality, we assume that $0 \in \Omega$. In addition, we fix a reference point $x_1 \in \Omega$, $x_1 \neq 0$. When there is no danger of confusion we will omit indices. In particular, for a matrix $A(x) = \big(a^{ij}(x)\big)$ and a vector field $b(x)$ we denote

$$(A(x)\xi)^i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x)\xi_j, \;\; b(x)\cdot\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b^j(x)\xi_j, \text{ where } \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathrm{R}^n.$$

Moreover, for $x \in \Omega$ we introduce a norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ associated to a positive definite matrix $A(x)$,

$$|\xi|_A^2 := \xi \cdot A\xi \, .$$

We write $\Omega_1 \Subset \Omega_2$ if Ω_2 is open, $\overline{\Omega_1}$ is compact and $\overline{\Omega_1} \subset \Omega_2$.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ be a domain (or more generally, a smooth noncompact manifold Ω of dimension n). We assume that ν is a positive measure on Ω, satisfying $\mathrm{d}\nu = f\,\mathrm{vol}$ with f a positive function; vol being the volume form of Ω (which is just the Lebesgue measure in the case of a domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$). Consider a second-order elliptic operator P with real coefficients which (in any coordinate system $(U; x_1, \ldots, x_n)$) is either of the form

$$Pu = -a^{ij}(x)\partial_i\partial_j u + b(x)\cdot\nabla u + c(x)u, \tag{2.1}$$

or in the divergence form

$$Pu = -\mathrm{div}\left[\big(A(x)\nabla u + u\tilde{b}(x)\big)\right] + b(x)\cdot\nabla u + c(x)u, \tag{2.2}$$

Here, the divergence is the formal adjoint of the gradient with respect to the measure ν. We assume that for every $x \in \Omega$ the matrix $A(x) := \big(a^{ij}(x)\big)$ is symmetric and that the real quadratic form

$$\xi \cdot A(x)\xi := \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \xi_i a^{ij}(x)\xi_j \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{2.3}$$

is positive definite. Moreover, throughout the paper it is assumed that P is locally uniformly elliptic, and the coefficients of P are locally sufficiently regular in Ω. All our results hold for example when P is of the form (2.2), and A, f are locally Hölder continuous, b, $\tilde{b} \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n, \mathrm{d}x)$, and $c \in L^{p/2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}, \mathrm{d}x)$ for some $p > n$. However it would be apparent from the proofs that any conditions that guarantee standard elliptic theory are sufficient.

The formal adjoint P^* of the operator P is defined on its natural space $L^2(\Omega, \mathrm{d}\nu)$. In the case where P is in divergence form (2.2) and $b = \tilde{b}$, the operator

$$Pu = -\mathrm{div}\left[\left(A\nabla u + ub\right)\right] + b \cdot \nabla u + cu, \tag{2.4}$$

is symmetric in the space $L^2(\Omega, \mathrm{d}\nu)$, and we call such a setting the *symmetric case*.

In the paragraphs below we recall basic notions and theorems from the theory of positive solutions. We refer the reader to a review [35] for details and further references.

Definition 2.1. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_P(\Omega)$ the cone of all positive solutions of the elliptic equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω. The operator P is said to be *nonnegative in* Ω, and write $P \geq 0$ in Ω, if $\mathcal{C}_P(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$. We say that P satisfies the *positive Liouville theorem* in Ω if $\dim \mathcal{C}_P(\Omega) = 1$.

For a nonzero (real valued) function W, let

$$\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(P, W, \Omega) := \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid P - \lambda W \geq 0 \ \text{ in } \Omega\}$$

be the *generalized principal eigenvalue* of the operator P with respect to the potential W in Ω. We also denote

$$\lambda_\infty := \lambda_\infty(P, W, \Omega) := \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists K \subset\subset \Omega \text{ s.t. } P - \lambda W \geq 0 \ \text{ in } \Omega \setminus K\}.$$

Clearly, $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_\infty$. Moreover, $P - \lambda_0 W \geq 0$ in Ω. In the symmetric case, in light of the Agmon-Allegretto-Piepenbrink (AAP) theory (see for example [4] and [14]), λ_0 and λ_∞ have the following spectral interpretation:

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that the operator P is symmetric in $L^2(\Omega, \mathrm{d}\nu)$, and $W > 0$. Suppose also that $\lambda_0(P, W, \Omega) > -\infty$. Define*

$$\tilde{P} := W^{-1}P.$$

Then $\tilde{P}$ is symmetric on $L^2(\Omega, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$, has the same quadratic form as P, and λ_0 (resp. λ_∞) is the infimum of the spectrum (resp. essential spectrum) of the Friedrichs extension of $\tilde{P}$.

Denote by q the quadratic form associated to P, and assume that $P \geq 0$ in Ω. Then the following Hardy-type inequality holds true with the best constant $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(P, W, \Omega) \geq 0$:

$$q(\varphi) \geq \lambda_0 \int_\Omega W\varphi^2 \,\mathrm{d}\nu \qquad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \tag{2.5}$$

Next, we introduce the definition of (sub)criticality:

Definition 2.3. Assume that $P \geq 0$ in Ω. The operator P is said to be *subcritical in* Ω if there exists a nonzero nonnegative continuous function W such that $\lambda_0(P, W, \Omega) > 0$, otherwise, P is *critical in* Ω. So, in the critical case, $\lambda_0(P, W, \Omega) = 0$ for any nonnegative nonzero continuous function W.
If $P \not\geq 0$ in Ω, then P is said to be *supercritical* in Ω.

The (sub)criticality of P in Ω has an equivalent characterization in terms of the structure of the cone of positive solutions $\mathcal{C}_P(\Omega)$. This characterization is based on the notion of positive solution of minimal growth (see [4]), and it is a key to our theorems and proofs. We recall the definition.

Definition 2.4. 1. Let $K \Subset \Omega$, and let u be a positive solution of the equation $Pw = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus K$. We say that u is *a positive solution of minimal growth in a neighborhood of infinity in* Ω if for any $K \Subset K' \Subset \Omega$ with smooth boundary and any (regular) positive supersolution $v \in C((\Omega \setminus K') \cup \partial K')$ of the equation $Pw = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus K'$ satisfying $u \leq v$ on $\partial K'$, we have $u \leq v$ in $\Omega \setminus K'$.

2. Let $x_1 \in \Omega$. A positive solution of the equation

$$Pu = 0 \qquad \text{in} \quad \Omega \setminus \{x_1\}$$

of minimal growth in a neighborhood of infinity in Ω is called a *positive minimal Green function*, if the singularity at x_1 is not removable. The appropriately normalized Green function is denoted by $G_P^\Omega(x, x_1)$.

The aforementioned characterization of a subcritical operator is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose that $P \geq 0$ in Ω. The operator P is subcritical in Ω if and only if it admits a positive minimal Green function $G_P^\Omega(x, x_1)$ in Ω. Moreover, in the critical case, the equation $Pu = 0$ admits a unique (up to multiplicative constant) positive global solution in Ω, which is called* Agmon's ground state *(or in short a ground state).*
The operator P is subcritical (resp. critical) in Ω if and only if its formal adjoint $P^\star$ is subcritical (resp. critical) in Ω.

We note that a ground state of a critical operator P in Ω is a positive global solution of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω that has minimal growth in a neighborhood of infinity in Ω.
Let P be subcritical in Ω and $W \gneqq 0$. Clearly $\lambda_0 := \lambda_0(P, W, \Omega) \geq 0$, but λ_0 might be either 0 or positive. Moreover, the operator $P - \lambda W$ is

subcritical in Ω for $0 \le \lambda < \lambda_0$, but $P - \lambda_0 W$ might be either subcritical or critical in Ω. The case of a perturbation by a compactly supported potential (or more generally, by a semismall perturbation [30]) is well understood (see for example [35, and references therein]). In particular, we have:

Proposition 2.6. *Let P be a subcritical operator in Ω and $W \ge 0$ a nonzero bounded compactly supported weight in Ω (or more generally, W is a semismall perturbation potential of the operator P in Ω). Then $\lambda_0(P, W, \Omega) > 0$. Moreover, the operator $P - \lambda W$ is critical in Ω for $\lambda = \lambda_0$, and subcritical for $0 \le \lambda < \lambda_0$.*

Remark 2.7. Assume that P is the Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta$ on the manifold Ω, then $P\mathbf{1} = 0$ and the cone of positive solutions is nonempty. The manifold is called *parabolic* (resp. *hyperbolic*) if $-\Delta$ is critical (resp. subcritical) in Ω. For a thorough discussion of the probabilistic interpretation of criticality theory, see [40].

Next, we define *null-criticality.*

Definition 2.8. We say that the operator $P - W$ is *null-critical* (resp. *positive critical*) *in Ω with respect to the measure* $W\mathrm{d}\nu$ if $P - W$ is critical in Ω, and $\varphi_0\varphi_0^\star \notin L^1(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$ (resp. $\varphi_0\varphi_0^\star \in L^1(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$), where φ_0, and $\varphi_0^\star$ are the corresponding ground states of $P - W$ and $P^\star - W$ in Ω.

Positive criticality is closely related to the large time behavior of the heat kernel (see, [35]). Moreover, in the symmetric case, it is equivalent to the existence of a minimizer for the corresponding variational problem. Indeed, let q be the quadratic form associated to a subcritical operator P in Ω. Consider the space $\mathcal{D}_P^{1,2}(\Omega)$, the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $u \mapsto \sqrt{q(u)}$. Since P is subcritical, we know that $\mathcal{D}_P^{1,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ (see [38]) and $\lambda_0(P, W, \Omega)$ is characterized by the Rayleigh-Ritz variational problem:

$$\lambda_0 = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}_P^{1,2}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{q(u)}{\int_\Omega u^2 W \mathrm{d}\nu} \,. \tag{2.6}$$

We have (see [37, Lemma 1.1]):

Lemma 2.9. *Assume that P is symmetric, and $W > 0$ in Ω. Then $P - W$ is positive-critical in Ω if and only if the infimum in the variational problem* (2.6) *is attained, and the infimum is equal* 1. *Furthermore, if it is the case, then the corresponding ground state φ_0 satisfies $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_P^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and realizes the infimum uniquely (up to a multiplicative constant).*

Finally, we define precisely what we mean by saying that W is "as large as possible" weight function (cf. Definition 1.2).

Definition 2.10. Let P be a subcritical operator in Ω. A nonzero nonnegative function W is said to be an *optimal Hardy-weight with respect to P and the domain Ω* if $P - W$ is null-critical in Ω, and for any $\lambda > 1$, the operator $P - \lambda W$ is supercritical in any neighborhood of infinity in Ω.

The following theorem provides the precise formulation of the main result of this paper; i.e. the *existence of an optimal Hardy-weight* (cf. Theorem 1.3).

Theorem 2.11 (Main Theorem)**.** *Let P be a subcritical operator in Ω, and let $G(x) := G_P^\Omega(x,0)$ be its minimal positive Green function with a pole at $0 \in \Omega$. Let u be a positive solution of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω satisfying*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{G(x)}{u(x)} = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

where ∞ is the ideal point in the one-point compactification of Ω. Consider the positive supersolution

$$v := \sqrt{Gu}$$

of the operator P in $\Omega^\star$. Then the associated Hardy-weight

$$W := \frac{Pv}{v} = \frac{1}{4}\left|\nabla \log\left(\frac{G}{u}\right)\right|_A^2 \tag{2.8}$$

is optimal *with respect to P and the punctured domain $\Omega^\star$.*

Assume further that P is symmetric, and that W is positive in $\Omega^\star$, then the spectrum and the essential spectrum of the Friedrichs extension of the operator $W^{-1}P$ on $L^2(\Omega^\star, W\,\mathrm{d}\nu)$ is equal to $[1,\infty)$.

Remark 2.12. 1. If P is a symmetric operator, or more generally if $G_P^\Omega(x,y) \asymp G_P^\Omega(y,x)$, then a global positive solution u satisfying (2.7) always exists [5].

2. If u_0, u_1 are two positive solutions of $Pu = 0$ near infinity in Ω such that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{u_0(x)}{u_1(x)} = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

then u_0 is a solution of minimal growth in a neighborhood of infinity in Ω (see Proposition 4.1). Therefore, in Theorem 2.11 we must take $u_0 = G$ (the Green function) as a solution satisfying (2.9).

3. By the uniqueness of the ground state, it follows that the function $\sqrt{Gu}$ is the *ground state* of $P - W$ in $\Omega^\star$.

As a consequence of the criticality of $P - W$, we get the following positive Liouville theorem:

Corollary 2.13. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.11, suppose that v is a positive supersolution of the equation $(P - W)w = 0$ in $\Omega^\star$. Then v is actually a solution of the above equation, and is equal (up to a multiplicative constant) to $\sqrt{Gu}$.*

We prove Theorem 2.11 in four steps, see theorems 4.2, 5.2, 6.2, and 7.4.

2.1. **Ground state transform.**

We recall a standard procedure to eliminate the zero-order term of the operator P. Denote by $\mathcal{V}$ the space $C^{2,\alpha}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ (resp. $W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$) if P is of the form (2.1) (resp. (2.2)). Let $h \in \mathcal{V}$ be a positive continuous function and define a map

$$T_h : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}, \qquad v \to \frac{v}{h}\,. \tag{2.10}$$

The operator $P_h := T_h \circ P \circ T_h^{-1}$ given more explicitly by

$$P_h u = \frac{P(hu)}{h} \tag{2.11}$$

is called the *h-transform* of P.

Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_P(\Omega)$. Then the corresponding h-transform is called a *ground state transform*. Clearly,

$$P_\varphi \mathbf{1} = 0.$$

Moreover, we have

Proposition 2.14 (Ground state transform)**.** *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_P(\Omega)$, and let P_φ be the corresponding ground state transform. Then*

$$\lambda_0(P_\varphi, W, \Omega) = \lambda_0(P, W, \Omega), \quad \lambda_\infty(P_\varphi, W, \Omega) = \lambda_\infty(P, W, \Omega).$$

Moreover, P_φ is subcritical in Ω if and only if P is subcritical in Ω.

The map $T_\varphi|_{\mathcal{V}\cap L^2(\Omega,\, \mathrm{d}\nu)}$ extends to an isometry between $L^2(\Omega,\, \mathrm{d}\nu)$ and $L^2(\Omega,\, \varphi^2\mathrm{d}\nu)$. In the symmetric case this implies that P and P_φ are unitary equivalent.

Proof. The map T_φ respects the structure of positive solutions,

$$T_\varphi \mathcal{C}_P(\Omega) = \mathcal{C}_{P_\varphi}(\Omega),$$

and preserves support of functions, namely $\operatorname{supp} v = \operatorname{supp} T_\varphi v$. The claim about λ_0 and λ_∞ then follows from their definitions and Proposition 2.5. The last two claims about the isometry are standard. When P is symmetric it provides independent proof of the spectral claims of the proposition. □

We note that in the subcritical case, the corresponding Green function satisfies

$$G^\Omega_{P_\varphi}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} G^\Omega_P(x,y)\varphi(y).$$

On the other hand, in the critical case $\mathbf{1}$ is the ground state of the equation $P_\varphi u = 0$ in Ω. In addition, if the operator P is symmetric of the form (2.4), then

$$P_\varphi u = -\frac{1}{\varphi^2}\operatorname{div}(\varphi^2 A(x)\nabla u), \tag{2.12}$$

and P_φ is manifestly symmetric in $L^2(\Omega,\, \varphi^2\mathrm{d}\nu)$.

Calculations are genuinely simplified after a ground state transform. Indeed, if $P\mathbf{1} = 0$, then

$$P(uv) = uP(v) - 2A\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + vP(u), \tag{2.13}$$

$$P(f(v)) = f'(v)P(v) - f''(v)|\nabla v|_A^2, \tag{2.14}$$

holds for all functions u, $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$.

3. Construction of Hardy-weights

The construction of the optimal Hardy-weight using the supersolution method is based on the following simple observation ([32, Theorem 3.1])

Lemma 3.1 (Supersolution construction)**.** *Let v_j be two positive solutions (resp. supersolutions) of the equation $Pu = 0$, $j = 0, 1$, in a domain Ω, and let $v := v_1/v_0$. Then for any $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ the function*

$$v_\alpha(x) := \big(v_1(x)\big)^\alpha \big(v_0(x)\big)^{1-\alpha} = v^\alpha(x) v_0(x) \tag{3.1}$$

is a positive solution (resp. supersolution) of the equation

$$\big[P - 4\alpha(1-\alpha)W(x)\big]u = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{3.2}$$

where W is the Hardy-weight given by

$$W(x) := \frac{|\nabla v|_A^2}{4v^2} \ge 0. \tag{3.3}$$

In fact, v_j are linearly independent if and only if $W \ne 0$.

Proof. The proof is obtained by a straightforward calculation (see [32, Theorem 3.1], or by using the ground state transform with respect to v_0, and then (2.13) and (2.14)). The nonnegativity and non-triviality of W follows from the ellipticity condition (2.3). □

Optimizing (3.2) in α, we find for $\alpha = 1/2$:

Corollary 3.2. *The function $\sqrt{v_0 v_1}$ is a positive (super)solution of the equation*

$$\big[P - W(x)\big]u = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega.$$

In particular, $P - W \ge 0$ in Ω.

We call the above procedure *the supersolution construction*, and the corresponding potential W is called a *Hardy-weight*. When v_j are solutions it is often useful to apply the ground state transform with respect to v_0. This h-transform maps the pair of solutions (v_0, v_1) of P to a pair of solutions $(\mathbf{1}, v_1/v_0)$ of the equation $P_{v_0}u = 0$. For example, (3.2) is then obtained by applying (2.14) and (2.14) with $P = P_{v_0}$, and $f(t) = t^\alpha$. Note that the Hardy-weight W is unchanged under this ground state transform.

Remark 3.3. Lemma 3.1 has a straightforward generalization to the case when v_j are (super)solutions of $(P - V_j)v_j = 0$, $j = 0, 1$ (cf. [32, Theorem 3.1]). In that case v_α is a (super)solution of the equation

$$[P + (1-\alpha)V_0 + \alpha V_1 - 4\alpha(1-\alpha)W]\, u = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Example 3.4. Suppose that $P = -\Delta$, and assume that Ω is a smooth bounded *convex* domain. Consider the function $v_0(x) := \delta(x) := \mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ which due to the convexity is a positive superharmonic function in Ω, and let $v_1 := \mathbf{1}$. Then the associated weight $W(x) = \delta(x)^{-2}/4$ is the corresponding Hardy-weight, and we get the well known Hardy inequality [28]

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla\phi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \geq \frac{1}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{|\phi|^2}{\delta(x)^2}\,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \tag{3.5}$$

It is known [28] that the operator $-\Delta - W$ is subcritical in Ω, but

$$\lambda_0(-\Delta, \delta(x)^{-2}, \Omega) = \lambda_\infty(-\Delta, \delta(x)^{-2}, \Omega) = 1/4. \tag{3.6}$$

That is, $1/4$ is the best constant in the above inequality in a strong sense. In fact, (3.6) can be deduced from Theorem 5.2 (see Example 10.2). Note also, that if one takes instead the superharmonic function $v_0(x) = \delta(x)^\beta$ with $0 < \beta < 1$, then one obtains the Hardy inequality without the best constant.

The supersolution construction can be generalized to the case of finitely many positive supersolutions.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that $P \geq 0$ in Ω, and let $u_1, \ldots, u_N$ be positive (super)solutions of $Pv = 0$ in Ω. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N$ be nonnegative numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 1$.*

Then

$$u := \prod_{j=1}^N u_j^{\alpha_j} \tag{3.7}$$

is a positive supersolution of the equation $Pv = 0$ in Ω. Moreover, u is a positive (super)solution of $(P - W)v = 0$ in Ω, where

$$W := \sum_{i<j} \alpha_i\alpha_j \left|\nabla \log\left(\frac{u_i}{u_j}\right)\right|_A^2 .$$

Proof. Consider the function u defined by (3.7). We compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Pu - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \frac{Pu_i}{u_i} u \\
&\qquad = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i (1-\alpha_i) \left| \frac{\nabla u_i}{u_i} \right|_A^2 - 2 \sum_{i<j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \left\langle A \frac{\nabla u_i}{u_i}, \frac{\nabla u_j}{u_j} \right\rangle \right) u \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i (1-\alpha_i) \left| \frac{\nabla u_i}{u_i} \right|_A^2 + \sum_{i<j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \left[\left| \frac{\nabla u_i}{u_i} - \frac{\nabla u_j}{u_j} \right|_A^2 - \left| \frac{\nabla u_i}{u_i} \right|_A^2 - \left| \frac{\nabla u_j}{u_j} \right|_A^2 \right] \right) u \\
&\qquad\qquad = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \Big(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j \Big) \left| \frac{\nabla u_i}{u_i} \right|_A^2 + W \right) u = Wu,
\end{aligned}
$$

since by hypothesis $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i = 1$. □

The supersolution construction given in Proposition 3.5 will be used in Section 9, where we study the case of a subcritical operator which is defined on a manifold M with N ends, with $N \geq 2$.

Let us focus again on the case of two ends. Let W be the Hardy-weight given in Lemma 3.1 by (3.3). The set of solutions of the equation

$$(P - \lambda W)u = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ plays a crucial role throughout the article. Indeed, under the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, for $\lambda < 1$ the equation $P - \lambda W$ admits two positive (super)solutions

$$v_{\alpha_\pm}(x) = \big(v_1(x)\big)^{\alpha_\pm} \big(v_0(x)\big)^{1-\alpha_\pm}, \qquad \text{where } \alpha_\pm := \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1-\lambda}}{2}. \tag{3.8}$$

At the maximum $\lambda = 1$ the construction gives a (super)solution $v_{1/2}$ of $(P - W)u = 0$. We obtain a second solution for $\lambda = 1$ by differentiating (3.2) with respect to the parameter α and substituting $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\partial_\alpha \Big\{ \big[P - 4\alpha(1-\alpha) W(x) \big] v_\alpha \Big\} \Big|_{\alpha = \frac{1}{2}} = (P - W) \left[\sqrt{v_0 v_1} \log \left(\frac{v_0}{v_1} \right) \right] = 0.$$

To avoid justification of the differentiating with respect to α, we give an independent proof of this formula.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that P is a subcritical operator in Ω. Let v_j be two linearly independent positive solutions of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω, where $j = 0, 1$. Let W be the associated Hardy-weight given by* (3.3). *Then the equation*

$$(P - W)\, u = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{3.9}$$

admits a solution $w := \sqrt{v_0 v_1}\log\left(\frac{v_0}{v_1}\right)$.

Proof. In light of the ground state transform with respect to the function v_0, we may assume that $v_0 = \mathbf{1}$, and let us denote $v := v_1$. So, $P\mathbf{1} = Pv = 0$ and, by the construction of W, $(P-W)v^{1/2} = 0$ in Ω. Then using (2.13) and (2.14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
P(v^{1/2}\log v) &= P(v^{1/2})\log v - 2A\nabla v^{1/2}\cdot\nabla\log v + v^{1/2}P(\log v)\\
&= P(v^{1/2})\log v + v^{1/2}\frac{1}{v}P(v)\\
&= Wv^{1/2}\log v. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.7. Another way to understand the log-type solution is as follows. Suppose that P is of the form $Pu = -\mathrm{div}(A\nabla u)$, and let v be a nonconstant positive solution of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω. Then by the supersolution construction with respect to the solutions $\mathbf{1}$ and v we have $\Big(P-W\Big)v^{1/2} = 0$, where W is the Hardy-weight. Moreover, by (2.12), the ground state transform with respect to $v^{1/2}$ gives

$$(P-W)_{\sqrt{v}}(u) = -\frac{1}{v}\mathrm{div}(vA\nabla u),$$

which readily implies an equivalent formulation of Lemma 3.6,

$$(P-W)_{\sqrt{v}}\log v = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

4. The criticality of $P-W$

In the present section we prove the first assertion of the main theorem (Theorem 2.11). Namely, we prove that under assumption (2.7), the operator $P-W$ is *critical* in $\Omega^\star$. We start with a preliminary result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let P be a second-order elliptic operator in Ω and let u_0, u_1 be two positive solutions of $Pu = 0$ near infinity in Ω such that*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{u_0(x)}{u_1(x)} = 0.$$

Then u_0 is a solution of minimal growth in a neighborhood of infinity in Ω.

Proof. Let K be a smooth compact set in Ω such that u_0 and u_1 are positive and continuous in $\big(\Omega\setminus K\big)\cup\partial K$, and are solutions of $Pu = 0$ in $\Omega\setminus K$. Let $\{\Omega_k\}$ be an exhaustion of Ω, such that $K\subset\Omega_0$, and let w_k be the solution of the following Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} Pw_k = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_k\setminus K,\\ w_k(x) = u_0 & \text{on } \partial K,\\ w_k(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_k. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Then by the generalized maximum principle, $\{w_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of nonnegative functions, satisfying $w_k \le u_0$, and therefore, converging to a positive solution w of $Pu = 0$ in $\Omega\setminus K$, that clearly has minimal growth at infinity in Ω. Thus, it is enough to show that $u_0 = w$ in $\Omega \setminus K$. We obviously have $w \leq u_0$. On the other hand, by hypothesis, if $\varepsilon > 0$, there is k_ε such that $u_0 \leq \varepsilon u_1$ on $\partial\Omega_k$, for every $k \geq k_\varepsilon$. By the generalized maximum principle, this implies that $u_0 \leq w_k + \varepsilon u_1$ in $\Omega_k \setminus K$ and it follows $u \leq w + \varepsilon u_1$ in $\Omega \setminus K$. By letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we conclude that $u_0 \leq w$. Thus, $u_0 = w$ in $\Omega \setminus K$. □

We are ready to prove the criticality statement of Theorem 2.11.

Theorem 4.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.11, the operator* $P - W$ *is critical in* $\Omega^\star := \Omega \setminus \{0\}$*, and has a ground state* $\sqrt{Gu}$*.*

Remark 4.3. 1. Theorem 4.2 readily implies, that $\lambda = 1$ is the best constant for the validity of the inequality $P - \lambda W \geq 0$ in $\Omega^\star$. In particular, in the symmetric case, it follows that the best constant for the Hardy-type inequality (2.5) is $\lambda_0 = 1$.

2. Theorem 4.2 implies also that $\sqrt{Gu}$ is the unique (up to a multiplicative constant positive solution of the equation $(P - W)w = 0$ in $\Omega^\star$. Hence, for $\lambda \leq 1$ the *positive Liouville theorem* holds true for the operator $P - \lambda W$ in $\Omega^\star$ if and only if $\lambda = 1$ (cf. Corollary 2.13).

We present three proofs of Theorem 4.2. The shortest one uses the log solution for $P - W$, as well as the notion of minimal growth and is as follows:

Proof of the Theorem 4.2. By Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.6, the equation $(P - W)u = 0$ admits two solutions

$$u_0 = \sqrt{Gu} \quad \text{and} \quad u_1 = -\sqrt{Gu}\log\left(\frac{G}{u}\right).$$

By assumption (2.7), these solutions are positive near infinity and

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{u_0(x)}{u_1(x)} = 0.$$

Proposition 4.1 then implies that u_0 is a positive solution of the equation $(P - W)u = 0$ of minimal growth in a neighborhood of infinity in Ω. By the same argument and using the positive solution $-u_1$ in a neighborhood of zero, we conclude that u_0 has minimal growth in a neighborhood of zero. Lemma 8.3 implies now that u_0 has minimal growth at infinity in $\Omega^\star$. Therefore, u_0 is a ground state of $P - W$ in $\Omega^\star$, so, $P - W$ is critical in $\Omega^\star$.

□

Alternative proof 1: Let $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ and consider $v_\alpha := G^\alpha u^{1-\alpha}$ (cf. (3.1)). Then v_α and $v_{(1-\alpha)}$ are positive solutions of $P - 4\alpha(1-\alpha)W$ that satisfies

$$\frac{v_\alpha}{v_{(1-\alpha)}} = \left(\frac{G}{u}\right)^{2\alpha-1}.$$

Therefore, assumption (2.7) and the singularity of Green's function at 0 imply

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{v_{(1-\alpha)}(x)}{v_\alpha(x)}=0 \quad\text{and}\quad \lim_{x\to 0}\frac{v_\alpha(x)}{v_{(1-\alpha)}(x)}=0.$$

Consequently, applying Proposition 4.1, we deduce that v_α has minimal growth at zero, and $v_{(1-\alpha)}$ has minimal growth at infinity (both for the operator $P-4\alpha(1-\alpha)W$). This implies that $\sqrt{Gu}=\lim_{\alpha\to 1/2}v_\alpha=\lim_{\alpha\to 1/2}v_{(1-\alpha)}$ has minimal growth at zero and at infinity for $P-W$, as we explain now.

Indeed, let v be a positive supersolution for $P-W$ in a neighborhood of zero, that we assume for simplicity to be $B(0,1)\setminus\{0\}$. Then v is a positive supersolution of $P-4\alpha(1-\alpha)W$ in $B(0,1)\setminus\{0\}$ for $0\leq\alpha\leq 1$. Since on $\partial B(0,1)$, v and v_α are bounded above and below by positive constant that does not depend on α, we deduce that there is a constant C independent of α such that

$$v_\alpha\leq Cv.$$

Letting $\alpha\to 1/2$, we deduce that

$$\sqrt{Gu}\leq Cv,$$

hence $\sqrt{Gu}$ has minimal growth at zero. The proof at infinity repeats the same argument with the solution $v_{(1-\alpha)}$. □

Alternative proof 2: Here we explain how to prove the criticality of $P-W$, using once more the log solution, but without the use of the notion of minimal growth. By performing a ground state transform with respect to u, we can assume that $u=\mathbf{1}$.

We need to prove that the operator $Q:=P-W$ is a critical operator in $\Omega^\star$. Notice that the supersolution construction gives that $Q(G^{1/2})=0$ on $\Omega^\star$, where G is the Green function for P with a pole 0. Let us perform a ground state transform for Q with respect to its positive solution $G^{1/2}$. We get a second-order elliptic operator $\tilde{Q}:=Q_{G^{1/2}}$. By Lemma 2.14, the operator $\tilde{Q}$ is critical in $\Omega^\star$ if and only if Q is critical in $\Omega^\star$. By Lemma 3.6 (cf. Eq. (3.10)) we have,

$$\tilde{Q}(\log(G))=0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega^\star.$$

So, in $\Omega^\star$, we have two solutions of the equation $\tilde{Q}u=0$, namely $\mathbf{1}$ and $w:=\log(G)$. Note that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}w(x)=-\infty, \qquad \lim_{x\to 0}w(x)=\infty,$$

where the first limit is due to our assumption (2.7).

We claim that this implies that $\tilde{Q}$ is critical in $\Omega^\star$ (this is reminiscent of the Khas'minskiĭ criterion for recurrency, cf. [40], see also a related claim in [34, Corollary 3.10]).

Assume on the contrary that $\tilde{Q}$ is subcritical in $\Omega^\star$, and let $\tilde{G}(x)=G_{\tilde{Q}}^{\Omega^\star}(x,x_1)$ be the corresponding Green function with a pole at $x_1\in\Omega^\star$.

Let K be a compact annular domain around 0 containing x_1 such that $G(x) = M$ on the inner boundary and $G(x) = M^{-1}$ in the outer boundary, where $M > 1$ is a large positive number. So, $\Omega = K_0 \cup K \cup K_\infty$ where K_0 is a neighborhood of 0, and K_∞ is a neighborhood of ∞.

By the minimality of $\tilde{G}$ and the fact that $\tilde{Q}\mathbf{1} = 0$, we have

$$\inf_{x\in\Omega^\star} \tilde{G}(x) = 0.$$

Therefore, either $\liminf_{x\to 0} \tilde{G}(x) = 0$ or $\liminf_{x\to\infty} \tilde{G}(x) = 0$. Suppose first that $\liminf_{x\to 0} \tilde{G}(x) = 0$, and let

$$D_k^0 := \{x \in K_0 \mid M < G(x) < k\}.$$

D_k^0 is a union of open, relatively compact, connected sets in $\Omega^\star$, whose boundaries are contained in $\{x : G(x) = M\} \cup \{x : G(x) = k\}$. Furthermore, the sequence $\{D_k^0\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is increasing and is an exhaustion of $K_0 \setminus \{0\}$. Let v_k be the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{Q}u = 0 & \text{in } D_k^0, \\ u(x) = 1 & \text{on } \partial D_k^0 \cap \{x : G(x) = M\}, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial D_k^0 \cap \{x : G(x) = k\}. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Let $C > 0$ such that $\tilde{G} \geq C^{-1}$ on $\{x : G(x) = M\}$. Then by the maximum principle $0 \leq v_k \leq C\tilde{G}$. For k big enough, the set $\partial D_k^0 \cap \{x : G(x) = M\}$ is independent on k, and by the maximum principle v_k is a bounded nondecreasing sequence, converging to a positive function v_0 which solves the equation $\tilde{Q}u = 0$ in $K_0 \setminus \{0\}$, and satisfies $v_0 \leq C\tilde{G}$ in $K_0 \setminus \{0\}$. On the other hand, we have an explicit formula for v_k:

$$v_k(x) = \frac{\log k - w(x)}{\log k - \log M}.$$

Hence $v_0 = \mathbf{1}$, and consequently $\tilde{G} \geq C^{-1}$ in $K_0 \setminus \{0\}$ which contradicts our assumption.

A similar argument shows that $\liminf_{x\to\infty} \tilde{G}(x) = 0$ cannot happen. Hence, we obtain the contradiction to the our assumption that $\tilde{Q}$ is subcritical in $\Omega^\star$. □

5. $\lambda_\infty(P, \Omega, W) = 1$

In the present section we prove that for any $\lambda > 1$ the equation $(P - \lambda W)u = 0$ does not admit any positive solution neither in any neighborhood of infinity in Ω, nor in any punctured neighborhood of 0.

We first state the following lemma which extends Lemma 3.1 concerning the supersolution construction. The proof is obtained by a direct computation.

Lemma 5.1. *Let v_j be two positive solutions of the equation $Pu = 0$, $j = 0,1$, in a domain Ω, and let $v := v_1/v_0$. Then for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\lambda = 4\alpha(1-\alpha)$, the function*

$$v_\alpha(x) := \big(v_1(x)\big)^\alpha \big(v_0(x)\big)^{1-\alpha} = v^\alpha(x) v_0(x) \tag{5.1}$$

is a solution of the equation

$$\big[P - \lambda W(x)\big] u = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$W(x) := \frac{|\nabla v|_A^2}{4v^2} \geq 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Our main result of this section is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.11*

$$\lambda_\infty(P, W, \Omega) = \lambda_\infty(P, W, \Omega^\star) = 1.$$

In other words, for any $\lambda > 1$ the equation $(P - \lambda W)u = 0$ does not admit any positive solution neither in any neighborhood of infinity in Ω, nor in any punctured neighborhood of 0.

Proof. To simplify the notations we assume that $u = \mathbf{1}$ in the assumptions of Theorem 2.11 (in particular, $P\mathbf{1} = 0$ in Ω). The general case then follows by ground state transform (see Proposition 2.14).

Fix $\lambda > 1$ and K a compact subset of Ω containing 0. We need to show that the operator $P - \lambda W$ cannot be nonnegative on $K^c := \Omega \setminus K$.

By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$(P - \lambda W)\, G^\alpha = 0 \qquad \text{in } K^c,$$

where α is a *complex* number satisfying $4\alpha(1-\alpha) = \lambda$. Inverting the relation, we get that

$$(P - \lambda W)\, G^{\frac{1}{2} + \mathrm{i}\xi} = 0,$$

where

$$\xi := \frac{\sqrt{\lambda - 1}}{2}.$$

By taking the real part

$$\varphi := \mathfrak{Re}(G^{\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{i}\xi}) = G^{1/2} \cos\left(\xi \log(G)\right),$$

we obtain an oscillatory solution of the equation

$$(P - \lambda W)\, u = 0 \qquad \text{in } K^c.$$

We claim that the existence of such an oscillatory solution φ implies that $P - \lambda W$ is supercritical in K^c (i.e. $P - \lambda \ngeq 0$ in K^c).

Indeed, since $\lim_{x\to\infty} G(x) = 0$, we can find a connected component U of the open, relatively compact set $\{x : 0 < a < G(x) < b\}$ contained in K^c, where a and b are chosen so that

$$\cos\left(\xi \log a\right) = \cos\left(\xi \log b\right) = 0,$$

and such that φ has a constant sign on U, for example $\varphi > 0$ on U. Then since φ vanishes on the boundary of U and is positive on U, it has a local maximum point in U. If the generalized maximum principle for $P - \lambda W$ would hold, we would deduce that φ is zero on U, which is a contradiction. Therefore, the generalized maximum principle for $P - \lambda W$ does not hold in K^c, and hence $P - \lambda W \not\geq 0$ in K^c. Since K is an arbitrary compact set containing 0, it follows that $P - \lambda W$ cannot admit a positive (super)solution in any neighborhood of infinity in Ω.

Similarly, one shows that for any $\lambda > 1$, the generalized maximum principle for $P - \lambda W$ does not hold in any punctured neighborhood of the origin. □

The next result demonstrates that the asymptotic behavior of the constructed optimal Hardy-weight near 0 is exactly like the classical Hardy potential near the origin. Without loss of generality we may assume that the matrix $A = \left(a^{ij}\right)$ at 0 is equal to the identity matrix.

Theorem 5.3. *Assume that* $n \geq 3$, *the coefficients of* P *are smooth enough near* 0, *and* $a^{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}$. *Suppose further that the assumptions of Theorem 2.11 holds true. Then*

$$\lim_{x \to 0} |x|^2 W(x) = C_H = \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 .$$

Proof. It is well known that near the origin we have $G(x) \sim |x|^{n-2}$. Moreover, using [27] we know also the asymptotic near 0 of $|\nabla G(x)|$. Hence, an elementary calculation shows that

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{|x|^2 \left|\nabla \left(\frac{G}{u}\right)\right|^2}{\left|\left(\frac{G}{u}\right)\right|^2} = C_H .$$

□

We conclude the section with a result demonstrating that in the symmetric case, Theorem 5.2 implies that the decay of the weight W near infinity is "optimal" in the following sense.

Corollary 5.4. *Suppose that* P *satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.11, and assume further that* P *is symmetric. Then for every* $\lambda > 1$ *and every locally regular potential* $\tilde{W}$ *such that* $\tilde{W} = W$ *outside a compact neighborhood of* 0, *the (Friedrichs extension of the) operator* $P - \lambda \tilde{W}$ *has an infinite negative spectrum, in the sense that*

$$\sup\{\dim(F) : F \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}_P(q),\, q|_F < 0\} = \infty,$$

where q *is the quadratic form associated to* $P - \lambda \tilde{W}$.

In particular, if

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} W(x) = 0,$$

then (the Friedrichs extension of) $P - \lambda \tilde{W}$ *has an infinite number of negative eigenvalues accumulating at zero.*

Proof. In view of $\lambda_\infty(P, W, \Omega) = \lambda_\infty(P, \tilde{W}, \Omega)$, the first part follows from Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 1 in [17]. In fact, $\lambda_\infty(P, \tilde{W}, \Omega) = 1$ implies that for every $\lambda > 1$, the operator $P - \lambda\tilde{W}$ cannot be nonnegative in any neighborhood of infinity, that is $\lambda_\infty(P - \lambda\tilde{W}, \mathbf{1}, \Omega) \leq 0$. For the sake of completeness, let us recall [14] that the operator $P - \lambda\tilde{W}$ has a finite negative spectrum if and only if $\lambda_\infty(P - \lambda\tilde{W}, \mathbf{1}, \Omega) > 0$.

The negative spectrum is the union of the negative essential spectrum and the negative eigenvalues of finite multiplicities. However, under the condition $\lim_{x\to\infty} W(x) = 0$, the essential spectrum of the Friedrichs extension of $P - \lambda\tilde{W}$ is contained in $[0, \infty)$. $\square$

6. Null-criticality

Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.11, we know (by Theorem 4.2) that the operator $P - W$ is critical in $\Omega^\star$. Let φ_0 be the ground state of $P - W$, and $\varphi_0^\star$ be the ground state of $P^\star - W$ (which is also a critical operator in $\Omega^\star$). In this section we study integrability properties of these ground states. In particular, in the symmetric case, we study whether the ground state belongs to $L^2(\Omega^\star,\, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$. Note that since φ_0 is continuous its integrability is determined by its behavior at infinity and zero.

Definition 6.1. Assume that $P - W$ is critical in $\Omega^\star$, and let φ_0 and $\varphi_0^\star$ be the ground states of $P - W$, and $P^\star - W$, respectively. We say that $P - W$ is *null-critical at infinity* if

$$\int_{\Omega\setminus K} \varphi_0(x)\varphi_0^\star(x)W(x)\mathrm{d}\nu = \infty,$$

for (any) compact set K containing zero. Similarly, we define *null-criticality at zero.*

We have:

Theorem 6.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.11, the operator $P - W$ is null-critical at infinity and at zero.*

Remark 6.3. In the symmetric case, the null-criticality at zero follows at once from Proposition 5.3. In fact, in the symmetric case, the null-criticality both at zero and at infinity follows readily from Corollary 7.3.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. Recall that the explicit form of φ_0 is known. On the other hand, in contrast to the symmetric case, the explicit form of $\varphi_0^\star$ is unknown in the nonsymmetric case. Consequently, the proof is much subtler. Therefore, to illustrate the idea of the proof in the general case, we first present the proof in the symmetric case.

So, let us first assume that P is *symmetric*. We assume as before that $P\mathbf{1} = 0$, the general case then follows by the ground state transform. Recall that for $\xi \geq 0$, the function

$$\varphi_\xi := G^{1/2}\cos(\xi\log(G))$$

solves the equation

$$(P-(4\xi^2+1)W)u=0.$$

In particular $\varphi_0 = G^{1/2}$ is the ground state.

Define a set

$$\Omega_\xi := \left\{x \,:\, -\frac{\pi}{2\xi} < \log G(x) < 0\right\} \tag{6.1}$$

and consider the solutions φ_ξ, $\varphi_{3\xi}$. These solutions as formal eigenfunctions of a mixed value boundary problem on Ω_ξ lead to the following orthogonality relation

$$\int_{\Omega_\xi} \varphi_\xi \varphi_{3\xi}\, W \mathrm{d}\nu = 0. \tag{6.2}$$

Let us prove (6.2) in detail. Assume first that Ω_ξ is regular enough, then we have the following Green formula for P:

$$\int_{\Omega_\xi} \big(P[\varphi_\xi]\varphi_{3\xi} - \varphi_\xi P[\varphi_{3\xi}]\big)\,\mathrm{d}\nu = \int_{\partial\Omega_\xi} \Big\langle A\nabla[\varphi_\xi]\varphi_{3\xi} - A\nabla[\varphi_{3\xi}]\varphi_\xi, \vec{\sigma}\Big\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\sigma, \tag{6.3}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\sigma$ is the induced measure on $\partial\Omega_\xi$ and $\vec{\sigma}$ is the outward unit normal vector field on $\partial\Omega_\xi$. By construction, the functions φ_ξ, $\varphi_{3\xi}$ vanish on the set $\log G = -\pi/(2\xi)$. On the other hand, on the part of the boundary contained in $\{\log G = 0\}$ we have

$$\varphi_\zeta = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla\varphi_\zeta = \nabla\varphi_0 \tag{6.4}$$

for all ζ. It follows that the right hand side of the Green formula (6.3) vanishes. This establishes (6.2) since the left hand sides of (6.2) and (6.3) are nonzero multiple of each other.

For a nonregular Ω_ξ the claim follows by approximation of Ω_ξ by regular domains.

Now, assume that $\varphi_0 \in L^2(\Omega\setminus K, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$ and note that

$$|\varphi_\xi| \le \varphi_0$$

for all $\xi \ge 0$. Letting $\xi \to 0$ in (6.2), we conclude by the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\int_{\{G<1\}} \varphi_0^2 W \mathrm{d}\nu = 0,$$

which is a contradiction since $\varphi_0 > 0$ and $W \gneqq 0$ on $\{G<1\}$. The proof of the null-criticality near zero is analogous.

The general case: The proof follows the same idea as above, but since an explicit formula for the ground state $\varphi_0^\star$ of the adjoint operator $P^\star - W$ is not available, we construct instead an approximating sequence for φ_0^* .

Consider the domain Ω_ξ defined by (6.1), and let φ_ξ^* be the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} (P^\star - W)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_\xi, \\ u(x) = \varphi_0^\star & \text{on } \{\log G = 0\}, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \{\log G = -\pi/(2\xi)\}\,. \end{cases} \tag{6.5}$$

Since $P^\star - W$ is subcritical in Ω_ξ, the generalized maximum principle implies that $\varphi_\xi^\star$ is positive, $\varphi_\xi^\star \leq \varphi_0^\star$ on Ω_ξ, and the sequence $\{\varphi_\xi^\star\}$ is increasing with respect to ξ.

Therefore, as $\xi \searrow 0$, we have $\varphi_\xi^\star \to \varphi^\star \leq \varphi_0^\star$ locally uniformly in $\Omega^* \setminus K$, where $K = \{G > 1\}$ is a neighborhood of zero, and $\varphi^\star$ is a nonnegative solution of the equation $(P^\star - W)u = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus K$. Since $\varphi_0^\star$ is a ground state of $P^\star - W$ in $\Omega^\star$, it has minimal growth at infinity of Ω, and hence $\varphi_0^\star \leq \varphi^\star$. Thus, $\varphi^\star = \varphi_0^\star$, and we obtain

$$\lim_{\xi \searrow 0} \varphi_\xi^\star = \varphi_0^\star.$$

We use Green's formula for the operator $Q := P - W$:

$$\int_{\Omega_\xi} Q[u]\varphi_\xi^* \,\mathrm{d}\nu = \int_{\Omega_\xi} \big(Q[u]\varphi_\xi^* - uQ^*[\varphi_\xi^*]\big)\,\mathrm{d}\nu = B.T.\,, \tag{6.6}$$

where u is either φ_ξ or $\varphi_{3\xi}$, and $B.T.$ is the corresponding boundary term. We claim that $B.T.$ is independent of the choice of either φ_ξ or $\varphi_{3\xi}$. Indeed, the claim readily follows from (6.4), (6.5), and the explicit form

$$B.T. = \int_{\{G=1\}} \Big\langle A\nabla[\varphi_0]\varphi_0^\star - A\nabla[\varphi_\xi^\star]\varphi_0 + \mathbf{b}\varphi_0\varphi_0^\star - \tilde{\mathbf{b}}\varphi_0\varphi_0^\star, \vec{\sigma}\Big\rangle \,\mathrm{d}\sigma. \tag{6.7}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\xi} 4\xi^2 \varphi_\xi \varphi_\xi^* \, W \mathrm{d}\nu = \int_{\Omega_\xi} Q[\varphi_\xi]\varphi_\xi^* \,\mathrm{d}\nu &= B.T. \\ &= \int_{\Omega_\xi} Q[\varphi_{3\xi}]\varphi_\xi^* \,\mathrm{d}\nu = \int_{\Omega_\xi} 4(3\xi)^2 \varphi_{3\xi}\varphi_\xi^* \, W \mathrm{d}\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\Omega_\xi} \varphi_\xi \varphi_\xi^* \, W \mathrm{d}\nu = 9 \int_{\Omega_\xi} \varphi_{3\xi}\varphi_\xi^* \, W \mathrm{d}\nu.$$

Assuming that $\varphi_0\varphi_0^* W$ is ν-integrable in $\Omega \setminus K$, we can pass to the limit $\xi \to 0$ and obtain the contradiction $1 = 9$. The case of a nonregular domain Ω_ξ can again be treated by approximations. The proof of null-criticality near zero is analogous. $\square$

Corollary 6.4. *Assume that P is subcritical in Ω, symmetric in $L^2(\Omega, \mathrm{d}\nu)$, and $P\mathbf{1} = 0$. Then*

$$\frac{|\nabla G|_A^2}{G} \tag{6.8}$$

is not ν-integrable neither near 0 nor near infinity in Ω.

7. The essential spectrum

In the present section (unless otherwise stated), we assume that P is a *symmetric* subcritical operator in Ω. We continue our study of the supersolution construction with the pair (u, G), where $G(x) = G_P^\Omega(x, 0)$ and u satisfy (2.7). Moreover, throughout this section we assume that the corresponding (optimal) Hardy-weight W is *strictly positive* in $\Omega^\star$.

Remark 7.1. A natural question is to find sufficient conditions for the strict positivity of W near infinity in Ω. Recall that the unique continuation property holds true for a second-order elliptic equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω if the coefficients of P are smooth enough (see for example [22]). Since G/u is a positive solution of a second-order elliptic equation, and the zero set of the above optimal Hardy-weight W is equal to the zero set of $|\nabla(G/u)|$, it follows that under appropriate smoothness assumptions, the zero set of W has an empty interior.

Recall that for $\lambda > 1$, the functions

$$\varphi_\xi := \varphi(\xi, x) = u\left(\frac{G}{u}\right)^{1/2} \exp(\mathrm{i}\xi \log(G/u)) \tag{7.1}$$

with $\xi = \pm\sqrt{\lambda - 1}/2$ solve the equation

$$(P - \lambda W)u = \big(P - (1 + 4\xi^2)W\big)u = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega^\star.$$

So, for any $\lambda > 1$ the equation $(P - \lambda W)u = 0$ admits (at least two) "non-growing" generalized eigenfunctions. Therefore, Šnol's principle (or Bloch-type property) suggests that the spectrum σ and the essential spectrum σ_{ess} of $W^{-1}P$ in $L^2(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$ is equal to $[1, \infty)$. In fact, for such an operator P, we find an invariant subspace "spanned" by the functions φ_ξ on which P has a canonical form with purely absolutely continuous spectrum that is equal to $[1, \infty)$.

Define $\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star)$ to be the space of measurable functions that are proportional to u on the level sets of G/u, and denote by $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$ the space $L^2(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu) \cap \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star)$. Explicitly, $v \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star)$ if and only if $v = uf(G/u)$ for some measurable function $f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 7.2. *Under the normalization $u(0) = 1$, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu) \quad &\to \quad L^2\left((0, \infty), \frac{1}{4t^2}\,\mathrm{d}t\right), \\ v = uf(G/u) \quad &\mapsto \quad f(t), \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

is an isometry.

Proof. Assume first that P has smooth coefficients. Then by Sard's lemma, almost every point $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is a regular value of the function G/u, and hence for such points t, the set $\{G/u = t\}$ is a smooth $(n-1)$-dimensional submanifold. Note also that the function $W|\nabla(G/u)|^{-1}$ is smooth in $\Omega^\star$ (see the computation below).

On the other hand, by Green's formula, for any smooth neighborhood $\tilde{\Omega}$ of 0, we have

$$\int_{\partial\tilde{\Omega}} \langle uA\nabla G - GA\nabla u, \vec{\sigma}\rangle \,\mathrm{d}\sigma = \gamma, \tag{7.3}$$

where $\gamma = u(0) = 1$.

Consequently, the coarea formula and (7.3) imply that for any two functions in $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega^\star} uf\left(\frac{G}{u}\right) ug^*\left(\frac{G}{u}\right) W \,\mathrm{d}\nu \\
&\qquad = \int_{\Omega^\star} uf\left(\frac{G}{u}\right) ug^*\left(\frac{G}{u}\right) \frac{W}{|\nabla(G/u)|}|\nabla(G/u)| \,\mathrm{d}\nu \\
&\qquad = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t \int_{\{G/u=t\}} f(t)g^*(t)u^2\frac{1}{4t^2}\left\langle A\nabla\left(\frac{G}{u}\right), \vec{\sigma}\right\rangle \mathrm{d}\sigma \\
&\qquad = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t f(t)g^*(t)\frac{1}{4t^2}\int_{\{G/u=t\}} \Big\langle \left(uA\nabla G - GA\nabla u\right), \vec{\sigma}\Big\rangle \mathrm{d}\sigma \\
&\qquad = \int_0^\infty f(t)g^*(t)\frac{1}{4t^2}\,\mathrm{d}t,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

where in passing from the second line to the third line of (7.4) we used the coarea formula, and that $\nabla(G/u)$ is parallel to the normal vector $\vec{\sigma}$ of the level set $\{G/u = t\}$, and therefore,

$$\frac{W}{|\nabla(G/u)|} = \frac{1}{4\big(G/u\big)^2}\frac{|\nabla(G/u)|_A^2}{|\nabla(G/u)|} = \frac{\langle A\nabla(G/u),\, \vec{\sigma}\rangle}{4t^2}\,.$$

Hence, in the smooth case we have the isometry

$$\int_{\Omega^\star} uf\left(\frac{G}{u}\right) ug^*\left(\frac{G}{u}\right) W \,\mathrm{d}\nu = \int_0^\infty f(t)g^*(t)\frac{1}{4t^2}\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{7.5}$$

The regular case is obtained by a standard approximation argument (note that one may assume that $u = \mathbf{1}$). □

In the sequel of the present section, we assume that the positive solution u is *normalized* so that $u(0) = 1$.

Before proceeding with the study of the essential spectrum we note that the proof of Lemma 7.2 implies the following corollary, which allows us to estimate in average the potential W, and provides (in the symmetric case) an alternative proof of the null-criticality of the operator $P - W$ near 0 and ∞.

Corollary 7.3. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 are satisfied, and that* $u(0) = 1$*. Then for any* $0 < a < b$ *and* $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ *we have*

$$\int_{\{a\le \frac{G}{u}\le b\}} uGW \,\mathrm{d}\nu = \int_{\{a\le \frac{G}{u}\le b\}} |\varphi_\xi|^2 W \mathrm{d}\nu = \frac{1}{4}(\log b - \log a). \tag{7.6}$$

Proof. As in (7.4), we use the coarea formula on the domain $\{a \leq \frac{G}{u} \leq b\}$ (instead of the domain $\Omega^\star$) with the functions $f(x) = x$ and $g(x) = \mathbf{1}$, to obtain

$$\int_{\{a\leq \frac{G}{u}\leq b\}} uGW\mathrm{d}\nu = \frac{1}{4}\int_a^b t^{-1}\mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{4}\left(\log b - \log a\right).$$

□

Theorem 7.4. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 are satisfied, and $W > 0$ in $\Omega^\star$. Then the spectrum σ and the essential spectrum σ_{ess} of (the Friedrichs extension of) $\tilde{P} := W^{-1}P$ acting on $L^2(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$ satisfy*

$$\sigma(\tilde{P}, \Omega^\star) = \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\tilde{P}, \Omega^\star) = [1, \infty).$$

In fact, the spectrum of $\tilde{P}$ restricted to $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$ is purely absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Moreover, for any neighborhood $U \subset \Omega^\star$ of 0 or infinity of Ω, the (essential) spectrum of the Friedrichs extension of the operator $\tilde{P}$ on $L^2(U, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$ satisfies

$$\sigma(\tilde{P}, U) = \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\tilde{P}, U) = [1, \infty).$$

Proof. Using formulas (2.13) and (2.14) we find that

$$\frac{1}{W}P\left(uf(G/u)\right) = -4uf''(G/u)\left(\frac{G}{u}\right)^2. \tag{7.7}$$

This proves that $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu\right)$ is an invariant subspace of $\tilde{P}$, and the operator restricted to this subspace is unitarily equivalent to the symmetric operator

$$D : L^2\left((0,\, \infty), \frac{1}{4t^2}\,\mathrm{d}t\right) \to L^2\left((0,\, \infty), \frac{1}{4t^2}\,\mathrm{d}t\right)$$

defined by

$$(Df)(t) := -4t^2 f''(t). \tag{7.8}$$

The spectral representation of D, in terms of the Mellin transform (with $n = 1$), has been derived in the Introduction (see in particular, (1.10)). More explicitly, it is the composition of the Mellin transform with the isometry from $L^2\left((0, \infty), \frac{1}{4t^2}\mathrm{d}t\right)$ to $L^2\left((0, \infty), \mathrm{d}t\right)$, which is given by

$$f(t) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{1}{t}\right). \tag{7.9}$$

It follows

$$\sigma(D, (0, \infty)) = \sigma_{\mathrm{ac}}(D, (0, \infty)) = [1,\, \infty). \tag{7.10}$$

Recall that by theorems 4.2 and 5.2 we have

$$\sigma(\tilde{P}, \Omega^\star) = \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\tilde{P}, \Omega^\star) \subset [1, \infty).$$

Therefore, (7.10) implies that

$$\sigma(\tilde{P}, \Omega^\star) = \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\tilde{P}, \Omega^\star) = [1, \infty).$$

It remains to explain why we can localize the spectral result at a neighborhood $U \subset \Omega^\star$ of either 0 or infinity of Ω.

It is not difficult to check using the above results that $\tilde{P}$ on $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator

$$\tilde{D}f = -4(t^2 f')' \qquad \text{defined on } L^2\left((0,\infty), \mathrm{d}t\right).$$

Moreover, a neighborhood of 0 (resp. of ∞) in $\Omega^\star$ corresponds to a neighborhood of 0 (resp. of ∞) in $(0,\infty)$.

Therefore, it is enough to prove that the essential spectrum of $\tilde{D}$ restricted to a neighborhood of 0 or ∞ in $(0,\infty)$ is $[1,\infty)$. First, we know that the essential spectrum is preserved under compactly supported perturbation, and this implies that $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\tilde{D},(0,\infty))$ is equal to the union of $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\tilde{D},U_0)$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\tilde{D},U_\infty)$, where U_0 (resp. U_∞) is any neighborhood of 0 (resp. ∞) in $(0,\infty)$. Let U_0 be a neighborhood of 0, and define U_∞ to be the neighborhood of ∞ obtained from U_0 by the transformation $t \mapsto \frac{1}{t}$. Consider the following isometry T between $L^2(U_0,\mathrm{d}t)$ and $L^2(U_\infty,\mathrm{d}t)$ given by

$$Tf(t) = \frac{1}{t} f\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).$$

A computation shows that

$$T\tilde{D} = \tilde{D}T,$$

and this implies that the essential spectrum of $\tilde{P}$ restricted to U_0 is equal to the essential spectrum of $\tilde{P}$ restricted to U_∞. Since the union of these two essential spectra is $[1,\infty)$, we get that each one is equal to $[1,\infty)$. □

Remark 7.5. The latter assertion of Theorem 7.4 provides us with an alternative proof (in the symmetric case) that $\lambda_\infty(P,W,\Omega^\star) = 1$.

Collecting the transformations (7.2),(7.8), and (7.9), we obtain a spectral representation of $\tilde{P} = W^{-1}P$ restricted to $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$.

Corollary 7.6. *The operator* $\mathcal{F}$ *given by*

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) := \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{\Omega^\star} f(x)\varphi(\xi,x)W(x)\mathrm{d}\nu(x) \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{7.11}$$

(where $\varphi(\xi,x)$ *is defined by* (7.1)*) is a well defined unitary operator from* $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$ *onto* $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{d}\xi)$*, whose inverse is given by*

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}g(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\xi)\varphi(-\xi,x)\,\mathrm{d}\xi.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{F}\frac{1}{W}P\mathcal{F}^{-1}f(\xi) = (1+4\xi^2)f(\xi).$$

Remark 7.7. Formula (7.7) is valid also in the nonsymmetric case. So, the operator $\tilde{P} = W^{-1}P$ restricted to "radial" functions (i.e., functions in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star) \cap \mathcal{V}$) is in fact a Fuchsian-type ordinary differential operator. In particular, under the assumptions of Theorem 2.11, for any $\lambda < 1$ the set of all "radial" positive solutions of the equation $(P - \lambda W)u = 0$ in $\Omega^\star$ (i.e., the set $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{P}-\lambda}(\Omega^\star) \cap \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star)$) is a two dimensional cone, while by Theorem 4.2, the entire cone $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{P}-1}(\Omega^\star) = \mathcal{C}_{P-W}(\Omega^\star)$ is a singleton.

We provide below a more detailed and explicit construction of the above transform $\mathcal{F}$ using methods related to classical Fourier transform. This also gives independent proof of Theorem 7.4.

Alternative proof of Theorem 7.4: The idea is to find a spectral representation of $\tilde{P}$ restricted to $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$, that is a unitary operator

$$U : L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\nu) \mapsto L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

such that $U\tilde{P}U^{-1}$ is the multiplication by a real function with values in $[1, +\infty)$. Since the ground state transform is unitary, we may assume that $u = \mathbf{1}$. For the sake of brevity, we will denote $\mathcal{F}f(\xi)$ by $\hat{f}(\xi)$. We thus have to prove that for every $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega^\star)$ which is constant on the level sets of G, the following two identities hold

$$\int_{\Omega^\star} |f|^2 W \mathrm{d}\nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\xi \quad \text{(Plancherel-type formula)} \tag{7.12}$$

and

$$f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi)\varphi(-\xi, x)\,\mathrm{d}\xi \qquad \forall x \in \Omega^\star \quad \text{(the inversion formula).} \tag{7.13}$$

For a fixed $r > 0$, we define $\Omega(r)$ to be the open, relatively compact set

$$\Omega(r) := \{-r\pi < \log(G) < r\pi\},$$

and for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote

$$\varphi_k^r(x) := \varphi\left(\frac{k}{r}, x\right) = \sqrt{G}\exp\left(\mathrm{i}\frac{k}{r}\log(G)\right) \qquad x \in \Omega(r).$$

Consider the "torus" $\mathbf{T}_r$ to be the closure of $\Omega(r)$ divided by the equivalence relation

$$x \equiv y \Leftrightarrow \log G(x) = \log G(y) \mod (2\pi r)\,.$$

The set of complex valued continues functions $C(\mathbf{T}_r; \mathbb{C})$ can be identified to the set of complex valued continuous functions on the closure of $\Omega(r)$, each of which is constant on the level sets of G, and its value on the set $\{\log G = -\pi r\}$ is equal to its value on the set $\{\log G = \pi r\}$. In particular, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\exp(\mathrm{i}\frac{k}{r}\log G) \in C(\mathbf{T}_r; \mathbb{C})$. We also define the space $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\mathbf{T}_r; \mathbb{C})$, with the induced measure from Ω_r. We want to decompose the elements of $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega(r);\mathbb{C})$ in "Fourier series" with respect to the family $\{\varphi^r_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. First, we check the orthonormality.

Lemma 7.8. *For any $r>0$ it holds*

$$\frac{2}{\pi r}\int_{\Omega(r)}\varphi^r_k\overline{\varphi^r_l}W\,\mathrm{d}\nu=\delta_{k,l}\qquad\forall\,k,l\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof of Lemma 7.8. Notice that $\overline{\varphi^r_l}=\varphi^r_{-l}$. If $k\neq l$ and $k\neq -l$, then φ^r_k and φ^r_l are generalized eigenfunctions of P with different associated eigenvalues, and to prove their orthogonality we need to establish the identity

$$\int_{\Omega(r)}\left(P[\varphi^r_k]\varphi^r_l-\varphi^r_kP[\varphi^r_k]\right)\mathrm{d}\nu=0.$$

To this end, we have to check that the boundary term in the corresponding Green formula is zero. This boundary term is given by

$$B.T.:=\int_{\partial\Omega(r)}\langle A\nabla[\varphi^r_k]\varphi^r_l-A\nabla[\varphi^r_l]\varphi^r_k,\vec{\sigma}\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

We compute

$$\nabla\varphi(\xi,\cdot)=\exp(\mathrm{i}\xi\log(G))\left(\nabla G^{1/2}\right)+\mathrm{i}\xi G^{-1/2}\exp(\mathrm{i}\xi\log(G))\nabla G.$$

Since $\exp(\mathrm{i}\frac{k}{r}\log(G))$ and $\exp(\mathrm{i}\frac{l}{r}\log(G))$ are constant equal to $(-1)^k$ and $(-1)^l$ respectively on $\partial\Omega(r)$, we have

$$B.T.=\mathrm{i}(-1)^{k+l}\frac{(k-l)}{r}\int_{\partial\Omega(r)}\langle A\nabla[G],\vec{\sigma}\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

On the other hand, applying the Green's formula on the pair $(1,G)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega(r)}P[G]\mathbf{1}\,\mathrm{d}\nu-\int_{\Omega(r)}GP[\mathbf{1}]\,\mathrm{d}\nu=\int_{\partial\Omega(r)}\langle A\nabla[G]\mathbf{1}-A\nabla[\mathbf{1}]G,\vec{\sigma}\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\sigma,$$

and recalling that we assumed that $P\mathbf{1}=0$, and that also $PG=0$ on $\Omega(r)$, we get

$$\int_{\partial\Omega(r)}\langle A\nabla[G],\vec{\sigma}\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\sigma=0,$$

and thus $B.T.=0$.

If $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $l=-k\neq 0$, then $\varphi^r_k\overline{\varphi^r_{-k}}=\varphi^r_{2k}\varphi_0$ and the orthogonality of φ_{2k} and φ_0 have been already established. On the other hand, for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, and $l=k$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega(r)}|\varphi^r_k|^2W\,\mathrm{d}\nu=\int_{\Omega(r)}GW\,\mathrm{d}\nu,$$

and the integral is equal to $\pi r/2$ according to Corollary 7.3. □

Continuation of the alternative proof of Theorem 7.4: Since $\mathbf{T}_r$ is compact, the Stone-Weierstrass theorem implies that the vector space generated by the sequence $\left\{ \exp(\mathrm{i}k/r \log(G))\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is dense in $C(\mathbf{T}_r;\mathbb{C})$ (in the topology of uniform convergence). Therefore, the orthonormal series $\left\{(\pi r/2)^{-1/2}\varphi_k^r\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is complete in $L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega(r);\mathbb{C})$. Consequently, by Parseval's equalities, the following discrete analogues of (7.12) and (7.13) are available for every $f \in L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega(r);\mathbb{C})$:

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}_r} |f|^2 W \,\mathrm{d}\nu = \frac{1}{r}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{f}\left(\frac{k}{r}\right)\right|^2 \tag{7.14}$$

and

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{r}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}\left(\frac{k}{r}\right)\varphi\left(-\frac{k}{r},x\right) \tag{7.15}$$

Fix now $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega^\star)\cap L^2_{\mathrm{rad}}(\Omega^\star, W\mathrm{d}\,\nu)$, and choose $r>0$ such that the support of f is included in $\Omega(r)$ (this is possible since the fact that G tends to 0 at infinity implies that $\{\Omega(r)\}_{r>0}$ is an exhaustion of $\Omega^\star$). Let us apply (7.14) and (7.15) to the function $g := \exp(\mathrm{i}\alpha\log(G))f$, for $\alpha\in(0,1/r)$: we get

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}_r} |f|^2 W \,\mathrm{d}\nu = \frac{1}{r}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{f}\left(\frac{k}{r}+\alpha\right)\right|^2 ,$$

and

$$f = \frac{1}{r}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}\left(\frac{k}{r}+\alpha\right)\varphi\left(-\frac{k}{r}-\alpha,\cdot\right).$$

We integrate these two equalities with respect to $\alpha\in(0,1/r)$: recalling that f has support in $\Omega(r)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega^\star} |f|^2 W\mathrm{d}\nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\xi,$$

and

$$f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi)\varphi(-\xi,x)\,\mathrm{d}\xi.$$

This is exactly (7.12) and (7.13). □

We conclude this section with the following conjecture that arises naturally from our study.

Conjecture 7.9. *Assume that ϕ_0 is a ground state of a critical, symmetric (with respect to $L^2(\Omega,\,\mathrm{d}x)$) operator P in Ω. For $\lambda>0$ let ϕ_λ be a solution of the equation $(P-\lambda)u=0$ in Ω satisfying*

$$|\phi_\lambda(x)| \le C\phi_0(x) \qquad \forall x\in\Omega, \tag{7.16}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. Then λ belongs to the spectrum of the Friedrichs extension of the operator P on $L^2(\Omega, \mathrm{d}x)$.

8. BOUNDARY SINGULARITIES

In the present section we explain how our results can be extended to the case of boundary singularities, where the singularities of the Hardy-weight are located at $\partial\Omega \cup \{\infty\}$ and not at an isolated interior point of Ω as above. So, we apply the supersolution construction with two *global* positive solutions $u_0,\ u_1$ of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω that have singularities "at the boundary", instead of at an interior point, and get an optimal Hardy-weight W in the *entire* domain Ω. To understand the setting, we begin by presenting an example.

Example 8.1. Let $P = -\Delta$, and consider the cone

$$\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid r > 0, \omega \in \Sigma\},$$

where Σ is a Lipschitz domain in the unit sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, and (r, ω) denotes the spherical coordinates of x. Let θ be the principal eigenfunction of the (Dirichlet) Laplace-Beltrami operator on Σ with eigenvalue $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\Sigma)$, and set

$$\alpha_j := \frac{2 - n + (-1)^j \sqrt{(2-n)^2 + 4\lambda_0}}{2}.$$

Then for $j = 0$ (resp. $j = 1$) the positive harmonic function $u_j(r, w) := r^{\alpha_j}\theta(\omega)$ is the (unique) Martin kernel at ∞ (resp. 0) [33].

Applying the supersolution construction with the pair (u_0, u_1), we obtain the Hardy-weight

$$W(x) := \frac{(n-2)^2 + 4\lambda_0}{4|x|^2}.$$

Consequently, the corresponding Hardy-type inequality reads as

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla\phi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \geq \frac{(n-2)^2 + 4\lambda_0}{4|x|^2} \int_\Omega \frac{|\phi|^2}{|x|^2} \,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+). \tag{8.1}$$

It follows from Theorem 8.6 that W is an optimal Hardy-weight, and that the spectrum and the essential spectrum of $W^{-1}(-\Delta)$ is $[1, \infty)$. Note that (8.1) and the *global* optimality of the constant is known (cf. [16, 26]).

Throughout this section (unless otherwise stated), we assume that the Martin boundary $\delta\Omega$ of Ω and P is equal to the minimal Martin boundary and consists of $\partial\Omega \cup \{\xi_0, \xi_1\}$, where $\partial\Omega \setminus \{\xi_0, \xi_1\}$ is assumed to be a regular manifold of dimension $n-1$ without boundary (in fact, it is enough to assume that $\partial\Omega \setminus \{\xi_0, \xi_1\}$ is Lipschitz and satisfies the interior sphere condition). Note that it might be that one or two of the Martin points ξ_0, ξ_1 belong to $\partial\Omega$ (cf. Example 8.1).

We denote by $\hat{\Omega}$ the Martin compactification of Ω. Hence,

$$\hat{\Omega} := \overline{\Omega} \cup \{\xi_0, \xi_1\}.$$

We assume that there exists a bounded domain $D \subset \Omega$ such that ξ_0 and ξ_1 belongs to two different connected components of $\hat{\Omega} \setminus \bar{D}$ that are neighborhoods of ξ_0 and ξ_1.

We need the following definition of minimal growth at a portion of the boundary $\delta\Omega$:

Definition 8.2. Let $\omega \subset \delta\Omega$ be a closed set, and let u be a positive solution of $Pu = 0$ in a neighborhood $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ of ω. We say that u has minimal growth at ω if for every positive supersolution v of the equation $Pu = 0$ in a relative neighborhood of ω, we have

$$u \leq Cv$$

in a neighborhood $\Omega_2 \subset \Omega_1$ of ω.

We need two lemmas. The first one concerns minimal growth:

Lemma 8.3. *Assume that the coefficients of P are locally regular up to a Lipschitz portion Γ of $\partial\Omega$. Let W be a nonnegative potential which is L^∞_{loc} up to Γ, such that $P - W \geq 0$ in Ω.*

1. *Let $\omega \subset \Gamma$ be the closure of a nonempty open set, and let u be a positive solution of $P - W$ in a relative neighborhood of ω. The following are equivalent:*
   - (a) *u has minimal growth for $P - W$ at ω.*
   - (b) *u vanishes continuously on ω.*
2. *Let $\omega = \omega_1 \cup \omega_2$, where ω_1 and ω_2 are closed sets in $\delta\Omega$, and let u be a positive solution of $P - W$ in a neighborhood of ω. If u has minimal growth for $P - W$ at ω_1 and at ω_2, then u has minimal growth for $P - W$ at ω.*

Proof. 1) First, we extend P (resp. W) in a neighborhood U of ω in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that the corresponding extension $\hat{P}$ (resp. $\hat{W}$) has Hölder continuous coefficients (resp. the extension is L^∞). If U is small enough, then the extended operator $\hat{P} - \hat{W}$ is nonnegative in U, and we can find a positive solution θ of the equation $(\hat{P} - \hat{W})u = 0$ in U. By elliptic regularity, $\theta \in C^{1,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(U)$, and therefore $\tilde{P} := \theta^{-1}(\hat{P} - \hat{W})\theta$ has Hölder continuous coefficients in $\bar{\Omega} \cap U$. By performing a ground state transform with respect to θ, we see that it is enough to prove the Lemma for $\tilde{P}$ instead of $P - W$; so we will assume that u is a solution of $\tilde{P}$ instead. The fact that $(1a)$ implies $(1b)$ now follows from Lemma 3.2 in [33].

For the proof that $(1b)$ implies $(1a)$ we may assume that ω is bounded. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega$ be a neighborhood of ω on which u is a positive solution of the equation $\tilde{P}u = 0$ that vanishes continuously on ω. Let $\{\Omega_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an exhaustion of Ω such that $\mathcal{O}_k := \mathcal{O} \cap \Omega_k$ is regular. Let $w := \lim_{k\to\infty} w_k$, where w_k solves the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{P} w_k = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{O}_k, \\ w_k(x) = u & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O} \cap \partial\mathcal{O}_k, \\ w_k(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_k \cap \partial\mathcal{O}_k. \end{cases} \tag{8.2}$$

Then w has minimal growth at ω (this follows from the *local boundary Harnack principle*, see [33]). For every $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find k_0 big enough such that $u < \varepsilon$ on $\partial\Omega_k \cap \partial\mathcal{O}_k$ for every $k \geq k_0$. Then, since $\tilde{P}\mathbf{1} = 0$, $u + \varepsilon$ is a solution of $\tilde{P}$, and by the maximum principle $u < w_k + \varepsilon$. Letting $k \to \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \to 0$, we obtain $u \leq w$, which concludes the first part of the Lemma.

Part 2) follows directly from the definition of minimal growth. □

We now turn to the second Lemma concerning the regularity of the supersolution construction and the corresponding Hardy-weight on a portion of the boundary where the solutions u_0 and u_1 vanish.

Lemma 8.4. *Let Σ be an open subset of $\partial\Omega$. Assume that Ω is equipped with a Riemannian metric $\mathfrak{g}$, regular up to Σ. Let u_0 and u_1 be two positive functions defined in a neighborhood $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ of Σ that are C^2 up to Σ and vanish continuously on Σ. Suppose that the gradients of u_0 and u_1 restricted to Σ vanish nowhere. Then*

$$W := \frac{1}{4}\left|\nabla \log\left(\frac{u_0}{u_1}\right)\right|^2$$

has a continuous extension up to Σ (here the gradient and its norm are computed with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$ and not to the Euclidean metric). If, in addition, u_0/u_1 has a continuous extension to Σ, then u_0/u_1 is in fact C^1 up to Σ.

Proof. Let us denote by $\vec{\sigma}$ the unit exterior normal to Σ. Since u_0 and u_1 vanishes on Σ, the gradient of u_0 and u_1 are collinear to $\vec{\sigma}$ on Σ. Next, we claim that near Σ we have for $i = 0, 1$,

$$\frac{|\nabla u_i|_A}{u_i} = \frac{1}{\delta} + g_i, \tag{8.3}$$

where δ is the distance to $\partial\Omega$ with respect to the metric given by $\mathfrak{g}$, and g_i is continuous up to Σ. Indeed, for x_1 be a point of Σ, let γ_{x_1} be the unit speed geodesic starting at x_1, with $\gamma'(0) = -\vec{\sigma}$ the interior normal. Let $r \geq 0$ be the coordinate on γ (so that $r = \delta$ in restriction to γ_{x_1}, for r small enough), then the restricting u_i (resp. $|\nabla u_i|$) to γ provides us with a function $f_i(r)$ (resp. $g_i(r)$). Notice that f_i is C^2, g_i is C^1 and $f_i'(0) = g_i(0) = |\nabla u_i| \neq 0$ (this comes from the fact that ∇u_i is collinear to $\vec{\sigma}$, since u_i vanishes on Σ). A Taylor expansion in r gives (dropping the subscript i)

$$\frac{g(r)}{f(r)} = \frac{g(0) + g'(0)r + o(r)}{rf'(0) + \frac{r^2}{2}f''(0) + o(r^2)} = \frac{1}{r} + \left(\frac{g'(0)}{f'(0)} - \frac{f''(0)}{2f'(0)}\right) + o(r),$$

hence (8.3) follows. From the same kind of consideration, we get in a neighborhood of Σ,

$$\frac{\nabla u_i(x)}{u_i(x)} = \frac{1}{\delta}\gamma'_{\exp^{-1}(x)}(x) + X_i,$$

where X_i is a continuous vector field defined in a neighborhood of Σ and $\exp^{-1}$ is the mapping sending a point x to the unique point on $x_1 \in \Sigma$ such that $x \in \gamma_{x_1}$. The Lemma follows at once, by noticing that

$$\nabla\left(\frac{u_0}{u_1}\right) = \frac{u_0}{u_1}\left(\frac{\nabla u_0}{u_0} - \frac{\nabla u_1}{u_1}\right) = \frac{u_0}{u_1}(X_0 - X_1),$$

and that

$$W = \frac{\left|\nabla\left(\frac{u_0}{u_1}\right)\right|^2}{4\left|\frac{u_0}{u_1}\right|^2}. \qquad \square$$

We also need the following analogue of Proposition 4.1 for a domain with boundary:

Proposition 8.5. *Let P be a second-order nonnegative elliptic operator on Ω either of the form* (2.1) *or* (2.2) *with coefficients that are locally regular up to $\partial\Omega \setminus \{\xi\}$, where $\xi \in \delta\Omega$. If u and v are two positive solutions of the equation $Pw = 0$ in a relative neighborhood of ξ, which satisfy*

$$\lim_{\substack{x\to\xi \\ x\in\Omega}} \frac{u(x)}{v(x)} = 0,$$

and both vanish on a punctured neighborhood of ξ in $\delta\Omega$, then u has minimal growth at ξ.

Proof. The proof is almost exactly the same as the proof of Proposition 4.1. This time, we take a sequence of bounded sets $\{\Omega_k := B_1 \setminus B_k\}$, where $\{B_k\}$ is a decreasing sequence of relative neighborhoods in $\hat{\Omega}$ of ξ converging to ξ such that $\partial\Omega_k$ is piecewise smooth. With this definition, $\{\partial\Omega_k\}$ exhausts a punctured neighborhood $\xi \in \delta\Omega$ (cf. the proof of Proposition 4.1). Let $w := \lim_{k\to\infty} w_k$, where w_k is the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} Pw_k = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_k, \\ w_k(x) = u & \text{on } \partial B_1 \setminus \partial\Omega, \\ w_k(x) = 0 & \text{on } \big(\partial\Omega_k \cap \partial\Omega\big) \cup \partial B_k. \end{cases} \tag{8.4}$$

The fact that we can actually solve uniquely this Dirichlet problem under our assumption follows from the ground state transform trick used in the proof of Lemma 8.3, which allows us to pass from P to an operator with Hölder continuous coefficients up to $\partial\Omega_k$. It follows (using the boundary Harnack principle and arguments similar to those in [33]) that w has minimal growth at ξ. The end of the proof follows exactly the lines of the proof of Proposition 4.1. □

We now establish the main result of the present section.

Theorem 8.6. *Assume that P is subcritical in Ω. Suppose that the corresponding Martin boundary $\delta\Omega$ is equal to the minimal Martin boundary and is equal to $\partial\Omega \cup \{\xi_0, \xi_1\}$, where $\partial\Omega \setminus \{\xi_0, \xi_1\}$ is assumed to be a regular manifold of dimension $n-1$ without boundary, and the coefficients of P are locally regular up to $\partial\Omega \setminus \{\xi_0, \xi_1\}$.*

Denote by $\hat{\Omega}$ the Martin compactification of Ω, and assume that there exists a bounded domain $D \subset \Omega$ such that ξ_0 and ξ_1 belongs to two different connected components D_0 and D_1 of $\hat{\Omega} \setminus \bar{D}$ such that each D_j is a neighborhood in $\hat{\Omega}$ of ξ_j, where $j = 0, 1$.

Let u_0 and u_1 be the minimal Martin functions at ξ_0 and ξ_1 respectively. Consider the supersolution $v := \sqrt{u_0 u_1}$, and assume that

$$\lim_{\substack{x\to\zeta_0\\ x\in\Omega}} \frac{u_1(x)}{u_0(x)} = \lim_{\substack{x\to\zeta_1\\ x\in\Omega}} \frac{u_0(x)}{u_1(x)} = 0. \tag{8.5}$$

Then the associated Hardy-weight $W := Pv/v$ is optimal *in Ω. Moreover, if P is symmetric, and W does not vanish on $\hat{\Omega}\setminus\{\xi_0, \xi_1\}$, then the (essential) spectrum of the operator $W^{-1}P$ acting on $L^2(\Omega, W\mathrm{d}\nu)$ is $[1, \infty)$.*

Proof. We know that u_i vanishes continuously on $\partial\Omega \setminus \{\xi_0, \xi_1\}$. Also, by Hopf's boundary point lemma, we know that the gradient of u_i does not vanish on $\partial\Omega$. Define a metric $\mathfrak{g}$ on Ω, regular up to $\partial\Omega$, by

$$\mathfrak{g}(\cdot, \cdot) := \langle A^{-1}\cdot, \cdot\rangle.$$

We have $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} = A\nabla$, and therefore,

$$W := \frac{\left|\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\frac{u_0}{u_1}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{g}}^2}{4\left|\frac{u_0}{u_1}\right|^2} = \frac{\left|\nabla\left(\frac{u_0}{u_1}\right)\right|_{A}^2}{4\left|\frac{u_0}{u_1}\right|^2}.$$

Now, we can apply the Lemma 8.4 with $\Sigma = \partial\Omega\setminus\{\xi_0, \xi_1\}$, to get that W is continuous up to the boundary $\partial\Omega \setminus \{\xi_0, \xi_1\}$. Also, we know that u_0/u_1 has a continuous positive extension up to $\partial\Omega \setminus \{\xi_0, \xi_1\}$ (see part (i) of Theorem 7.1 in [33]). Hence, the log solution

$$\sqrt{u_0 u_1} \log\left(\frac{u_0}{u_1}\right),$$

as well as the oscillating solutions

$$\sqrt{u_0 u_1} \cos\left(\xi \log\left(\frac{u_0}{u_1}\right)\right),$$

vanish continuously on $\partial\Omega\setminus\{\xi_0,\xi_1\}$. By elliptic regularity up to the boundary, since W is continuous up to $\partial\Omega\setminus\{\xi_0,\xi_1\}$, all these solutions are in fact $C^{1,\alpha}$ up to $\partial\Omega$, for some $\alpha\in(0,1)$.

Consequently, (8.5) and Proposition 8.5 imply that $\sqrt{u_0u_1}$ has minimal growth at ξ_0 and ξ_1. It also vanishes continuously on $\partial\Omega\setminus\{\xi_0,\xi_1\}$, and therefore has minimal growth on $\partial\Omega\setminus\{\xi_0,\xi_1\}$ by Lemma 8.3. Therefore, again by Lemma 8.3, it has minimal growth on $\delta\Omega$, i.e. at infinity in Ω, and the criticality of $P-W$ follows.

The optimality of the constant 1 near ξ_0 and ξ_1 follows from the existence of the oscillating solutions. Such a solution contradicts the generalized maximum principle near ξ_0 and ξ_1 for the operator $P-\lambda W$ with the corresponding $\lambda>1$ (as in Theorem 5.2).

Concerning the null-criticality, the proof follows the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 6.2; here again we use the vanishing of the oscillating solutions on $\partial\Omega\setminus\{\xi_0;\xi_1\}$. This implies that the boundary of Ω will not cause trouble in the various integrations by part. The same remark also applies to the proof concerning the entire spectrum in the symmetric case. $\square$

Remark 8.7. In the one-dimensional case (i.e. $n=1$, $\Omega=(a,b)$, where $-\infty\le a<b\le\infty$), with a *general* subcritical operator P, there are always two positive solutions of the equation $Pu=0$ in an interval $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}$ that satisfy (8.5). Indeed. in this case one should take the two *minimal* positive solutions (Martin's kernels) of the equation $Pu=0$ in Ω corresponding to the two end points (cf. [29]).

The following example deals with an important class of operators with boundary singularities which satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 8.6, and in particular (8.5).

Example 8.8. Fuchsian type operators
Consider a *Fuchsian* linear subcritical elliptic operator of the form (2.1) defined on the cone $\Omega:=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\mid r>0,\omega\in\Sigma\}$, where Σ is a Lipschitz domain in the unit sphere S^{n-1} in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\ge 2$, and (r,ω) denotes the spherical coordinates of x. We assume that the coefficients of P are up to the boundary locally Hölder continuous except at the origin. The operator P has *Fuchsian singularities* both at 0 and ∞ means that there exists a positive constant M such that near 0 and ∞ we have

$$M^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n\xi_i^2\le\sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j\le M\sum_{i=1}^n\xi_i^2\qquad \xi\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

and

$$|x|\sum_{i=1}^n|b_i(x)|+|x|^2|c(x)|\le M.$$

It is known from [33] that the Martin boundary of Ω for P is equal to the minimal Martin boundary, and is the union of the Euclidean boundary and

∞. For $j=0$ (resp. $j=1$), denote by u_j the minimal Martin function with pole 0 (resp. ∞). By [33] u_j vanish on $\partial\Omega\setminus\{0\}$, and

$$\lim_{\substack{x\to 0\\ x\in\Omega}}\frac{u_1(x)}{u_0(x)}=\lim_{\substack{x\to\infty\\ x\in\Omega}}\frac{u_0(x)}{u_1(x)}=0. \tag{8.6}$$

Applying Theorem 8.6, we conclude that if W is the weight obtained by the supersolution construction applied to u_0 and u_1, then W is an optimal Hardy-weight. Moreover, in the symmetric case the spectrum of $W^{-1}P$ is equal to $[1,\infty)$. In particular, the Hardy-weight of Example 8.1 is optimal. The same conclusions hold true for a little bit more general domains (for example, truncated cones), see [33].

The following example deals with the case where one of the conditions of (8.5) is not satisfied.

Example 8.9. Let $P=-\Delta$ and $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^n_+$, $n>1$. Let $v_0(x):=C_n x_n/|x|^n$ be the Poisson kernel at the origin, and $v_1:=\mathbf{1}$. We note that in contrast to the pair (v_0,x_n), the pair $(v_0,\mathbf{1})$ does not satisfy one of the assumptions in (8.5). An elementary computation shows that

$$W(x):=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{|x_n|^2}+\frac{n(n-2)}{|x|^2}\right),$$

which is obviously greater than the corresponding well known Hardy potential $1/(2|x_n|)^2$, and we get the following improved Hardy inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}|\nabla\phi|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\geq\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}W(x)|\phi|^2\,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \forall\phi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+).$$

It is known that 1 is the best possible constant for the inequality $-\Delta-\lambda W\geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ (see for example [28]), but it is not clear whether $-\Delta-W$ is critical in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ in dimension greater than 2. For the two-dimensional case, $-\Delta-\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{|x_n|^2}$ is subcritical in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$, and consequently, for $n=2$ the operator $-\Delta-W(x)$ is subcritical.

9. Several ends

The *criticality result* for Hardy-weights obtained by a particular supersolution construction (Theorem 4.2) can be extended to the case where we have *a finite number of ends* in Ω, instead of just two ends (e.g., one isolated singularity and ∞). For related results see also propositions B.1 and B.3 in Appendix B, and [1, 9, 11].

Definition 9.1. Let M be a noncompact manifold. We say that M has *N-ends* $E_1,\ldots,E_N$, if each E_i is a smooth non-compact connected manifold with boundary such that

$$M=\bigcup_{i=0}^{N}E_i, \quad \text{and} \qquad \bigcap_{i=1}^{N}E_i=\emptyset,$$

where E_0 is a relatively compact, open set of M. We denote the ideal "infinity" point of each E_i by x_i (that is, x_i is the ideal limit point when $x \to \infty$ in $M \cap E_1 \setminus \left(\overline{\partial E_1 \cap M}\right)$).

We need the following Lemma, which is a slight extension of the results of [36, Corollary 3.6]:

Lemma 9.2. *Let P be a symmetric elliptic operator in a manifold M with ends $E_1, \dots, E_N$. For $i = 1, 2$, let $P_i = P + W_i$ be a nonnegative operator in M, where W_i is a potential, and let ϕ_i be a positive solution of $P_i u = 0$ in E_1. Assume further that*

$$\varphi_2 \leq C\varphi_1 \qquad \text{in } E_1,$$

and that φ_1 has a minimal growth at x_1 with respect to P_1. Then φ_2 has a minimal growth at x_1 with respect to P_2.

Proof. We first modify φ_i so that it has minimal growth for P_i on E_1 (seen as a manifold with boundary). To this purpose, let us consider U a compact, smooth, open set which is a neighborhood of ∂E_1 in E_1. Let ψ be a positive solution of $P_1 u = 0$ in U, with minimal growth at $\partial E_1 \cap M$. Now consider a positive function $\tilde{\varphi}_1(x)$ (resp. $\tilde{\varphi}_2(x)$) which is equal to $\psi(x)$ on a neighborhood of ∂E_1, and to $\varphi_1(x)$ (resp. $\varphi_2(x)$) near x_1. Let $\tilde{W}_i$ be a potential such that $(P + \tilde{W}_i)\tilde{\varphi}_i = 0$ in E_1. By the (AAP) theorem, $\tilde{P}_i := P + \tilde{W}_i$ is nonnegative. Also, by construction, $\tilde{\varphi}_1$ has minimal growth (globally) in E_1, considered as a subdomain of M, and therefore $\tilde{P}_1$ is critical in E_1. Furthermore, we still have (with a different constant C)

$$\tilde{\varphi}_2 \leq C\tilde{\varphi}_1 \qquad \text{in } E_1.$$

Now, [36, Theorem 1.7 or Corollary 3.6] implies that $\tilde{P}_2$ is critical in E_1, and $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ is its ground state. Therefore, $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ has minimal growth (globally) on E_1. Since $\tilde{\varphi}_2(x) = \varphi_2(x)$ near x_1, the lemma is proved. □

We now formulate the main result of the present section that claims that in the case of finitely many ends the supersolution construction produces an $N-1$-parameter family of critical Hardy-weights.

Theorem 9.3. *Suppose that P is a symmetric subcritical operator in a manifold M with ends $E_1, \dots, E_N$, $N \geq 2$. Assume that for each $1 \leq i \leq N$ there exists a function u_i which is a positive solution of the equation $Pu = 0$ in M of minimal growth near each end x_j, $j \neq i$, and satisfying*

$$\lim_{x \to x_i} \frac{u_j(x)}{u_i(x)} = 0 \qquad \forall j \neq i.$$

Consider the supersolution construction

$$v := \prod_{j=1}^{N} u_j^{\alpha_j} \ ,$$

where $0 < \alpha_j \leq 1/2$ *for all* $1 \leq j \leq N$*, and* $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j = 1$*.*

Then corresponding Hardy-weight $W := Pv/v$ *is critical with respect to* P *and* M*.*

Proof. Note that by the definition of minimal growth, for each i, and every $k, j \neq i$ we have

$$u_j(x) \asymp u_k(x) \qquad \text{as } x \to x_i.$$

Denote $\hat{u}_i := \prod_{j \neq i} u_j^{\alpha_j}$. Fix $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $k \neq i$. Then near x_i the following inequality holds

$$v = u_i^{\alpha_i} {\hat{u}_i}^{1-\alpha_i} \leq C u_i^{\alpha_i} u_k^{1-\alpha_i} = C(u_i u_k)^{1/2} \left(\frac{u_k}{u_i} \right)^{1/2-\alpha_i} \leq (u_i u_k)^{1/2}.$$

Recall that it follows from the *proof* of Theorem 4.2 (or Theorem 8.6) that $(u_i u_k)^{1/2}$ has minimal growth at x_i with respect the symmetric operator $P - W_{i,k}$, where $W_{i,k}$ is the Hardy-weight corresponding to the pair (u_i, u_k).

Hence, by Lemma 9.2, v has minimal growth at x_i. Since this is true for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, it follows that the operator $P - W$ is critical in M. □

Remark 9.4. 1. Theorem 9.3 should hold also in the nonsymmetric case (cf. Proposition B.3).

2. We plan to study the other optimality properties of the Hardy weights of Theorem 9.3 (besides the criticality) in a subsequent paper. Note that if the number of ends N is greater than 2, then these critical Hardy-weights might be not optimal, see Remark B.2.

3. For a slightly different approach in some particular cases, see propositions B.1 and B.3 in Appendix B.

10. Examples, applications and problems

In this section we present some further examples, and discuss some additional applications and extensions. First, we present a straightforward example of an optimal Hardy-weight.

10.1. **Further examples.**

Example 10.1. Consider the Laplace operator $P = -\Delta$ on the unit disk $\Omega = B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Take $v_0(x) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log|x|$, the Green function of the unit ball with a pole at the origin, and let $v_1 := \mathbf{1}$. Then the corresponding optimal Hardy-weight is given by $W(x) = \big(4|x|\log|x|\big)^{-2}$ defined on $B(0,1)^\star := B(0,1) \setminus \{0\}$. We obtain the inequality with the best constant

$$\int_{B(0,1)^\star} |\nabla \phi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B(0,1)^\star} \frac{|\phi|^2}{\big(|x|\log|x|\big)^2} \,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(B(0,1)^\star),$$

cf. [2, (1.3)]. In particular, the operator $-\Delta - W$ is null-critical in $B(0,1)^\star$. and $\lambda_0(-\Delta, W, B(0,1)^\star) = \lambda_\infty(-\Delta, W, B(0,1)^\star) = 1$.

Analogously, in higher dimension $n \geq 3$, let $v_0(x) := C_n(|x|^{2-n} - 1)$ be the Green function of the unit ball $B(0,1)$ with a pole at the origin, and let $v_1 := \mathbf{1}$. Then

$$W(x) = \frac{(n-2)^2}{4\big(|x|(1-|x|^{n-2})\big)^2},$$

and the following optimal inequality holds true

$$\int_{B(0,1)^\star} |\nabla\phi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \geq \Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2 \int_{B(0,1)^\star} \frac{|\phi|^2}{\big(|x|(1-|x|^{n-2})\big)^2} \,\mathrm{d}x \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(B(0,1)^\star).$$

In particular, the operator $\Delta - W$ is null-critical in $B(0,1)^\star$, furthermore, cf. [2, Section 1.1], we have

$$\lambda_0(-\Delta, W, B(0,1)^\star) = \lambda_\infty(-\Delta, W, B(0,1)^\star) = 1.$$

Example 10.2. The aim of the present example is to give an alternative proof that 1/4 is the best constant in the classical Hardy inequality (3.5) for a *smooth* convex bounded domain Ω (see the discussion in Example 3.4). If we use the supersolution construction with $P = -\Delta$, $u_0 = G$ (the Green function), and $u_1 = \mathbf{1}$, we get an optimal Hardy-weight $W := \frac{1}{4}\left|\frac{\nabla G}{G}\right|^2$. Recall that G vanishes on $\partial\Omega$ (in fact, $G(x) \asymp \delta(x)$ near the boundary). By Hopf's lemma, $\partial G/\partial\vec{\sigma}$ does not vanish on $\partial\Omega$, where $\vec{\sigma}$ is the outer normal vector to $\partial\Omega$. Hence, by the proof of Lemma 8.4, we have

$$W(x) \sim \frac{1}{4\delta(x)^2} \qquad \text{as } x \to \partial\Omega. \tag{10.1}$$

Since we know that $\lambda_\infty(P, W, \Omega) = 1$, we deduce that 1/4 is indeed the best constant in the classical Hardy inequality (3.5). It is also easy to deduce from the fact that $P - W$ is null-critical that the classical Hardy inequality (3.5) has no minimizer (this also follows from the subcriticality of $-\Delta - \delta(x)^{-2}/4$). We do not know if the asymptotic of W given by (10.1) remains true if Ω has a rougher boundary.

In the next two examples we apply the supersolution construction to positive solutions with boundary singularities.

Example 10.3. Consider the operator $Pu := -u''$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$, and apply the supersolution construction with the positive solutions $u_0(x) = x$, $u_1(x) = \mathbf{1}$. By Theorem 8.6, we readily get the classical Hardy inequality on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with the optimal Hardy-weight $W(x) := 1/(4x^2)$. We note that the corresponding transform (7.11) is just the classical *Mellin transform.*

Example 10.4. Consider the operator $Pu := -u'' + u$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$, with $u_0(x) = \mathrm{e}^x$, $u_1(x) = \mathrm{e}^{-x}$. Applying Theorem 8.6 we obtain the optimal Hardy-weight $W := \mathbf{1}$, and we get the trivial inequality $P - W = -\mathrm{d}^2/\,\mathrm{d}x^2 \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}$. The corresponding transform (7.11) is just the classical *Fourier transform* on $\mathbb{R}$.

10.2. **Completeness of the induced metric.** In this subsection we prove that the Agmon metric corresponding to optimal Hardy-weight W is *complete*. The completeness of $\Omega^\star$ in this metric implies sharp decay estimates for solutions of the equations the $Pu = f$ in $\Omega^\star$ (see Subsection 10.3).

Lemma 10.5. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.11 are satisfied and let W be the corresponding optimal Hardy-weight. Assume further that W is strictly positive. Then $\Omega^\star$ is complete in the Agmon (Riemannian) metric*

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 := W(x)\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x_i\,\mathrm{d}x_j, \quad \textit{where } \big[a_{ij}\big] := \big[a^{ij}\big]^{-1}. \tag{10.2}$$

Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 1.5 in [25]. Let γ be a curve in Ω such that $\gamma(t) \to \infty$ in $\Omega^\star$ when $t \to T$. Here, T is finite or infinite. We have to show that the length $L(\gamma)$ of γ for the metric $\mathrm{d}s^2$ is infinite. Denoting $v := \frac{G}{u}$, we compute

$$L(\gamma) = \int_0^T \sqrt{W(\gamma(s))}|\gamma'|_{A^{-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T |\nabla \log v|_A(\gamma(s))|\gamma'|_{A^{-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{10.3}$$

Define ∇_A to be the gradient with respect to the metric $|\cdot|_A$. For a function f and a vector $v \in T_x\Omega$, by definition of the gradient, we have the following identity

$$\mathrm{d}f_x(v) = \langle \nabla f, v\rangle = \langle A\nabla_A f, v\rangle,$$

which shows that $\nabla_A = A^{-1}\nabla$. From this, we see that

$$|\nabla_{A^{-1}} f|^2_{A^{-1}} = \langle A^{-1}\nabla_{A^{-1}} f, \nabla_{A^{-1}} f\rangle == \langle A\nabla f, \nabla f\rangle = |\nabla f|^2_A.$$

Using this last identity, we get

$$L(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T |\nabla_{A^{-1}} \log v|_{A^{-1}}(\gamma(s))|\gamma'(s)|_{A^{-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\log v(\gamma(s))\right|\,\mathrm{d}s$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\int_0^T \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\log v(\gamma(s))\right)\mathrm{d}s\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\lim_{t\to T}|\log v(\gamma(t)) - \log v(\gamma(0))|.$$

Since we assumed that $\gamma(t) \to \infty$ when $t \to T$, and that $\lim_{x\to\infty}|\log v(x)| = \infty$, we deduce that $L(\gamma) = \infty$. $\square$

10.3. **Decay of solutions of $Pu = f$ and Rellich-type inequality.** Let P be a Schrödinger operator of the form

$$Pu = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \partial_i\big(a^{ij}(x)\partial_j u\big) + c(x)u \tag{10.4}$$

defined on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. A theorem of Agmon [3, Theorem 1.5] states that under certain conditions on P, solutions u of the equation $Pu = f$ in Ω

that do not grow too fast, in fact, decay rapidly. The main condition which is required for the validity of the theorem is given by

$$(P\phi,\phi) \geq \int_\Omega \lambda(x)|\phi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \tag{10.5}$$

where λ is a nonnegative weight function. The decay is then given in terms of a function h satisfying

$$|\nabla h(x)|_A^2 < \lambda(x) \qquad \text{a.e. } \Omega. \tag{10.6}$$

Any Hardy-weight W given by (3.3) provides us with a natural candidate for λ and h. Assume that our Hardy-weight W obtained by the supersolution construction with a pair (v_0, v_1) is strictly positive a.e, in Ω, and set

$$\lambda := W, \qquad h := \frac{\mu}{2}\log\left(\frac{v_0}{v_1}\right),$$

where $0<\mu<1$. Then λ and h clearly satisfy (10.6). Suppose also that a solution u of $Pu=f$ in Ω satisfies the growth condition (1.13) in [3]. By Lemma 10.5 the induced Riemannian metric

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 := W(x)\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x_i\,\mathrm{d}x_j, \quad \text{where } \left[a_{ij}\right] := \left[a^{ij}\right]^{-1} \tag{10.7}$$

is complete. Therefore, by [3, Theorem 1.5], the following *Rellich-type inequality* holds true

$$\int_\Omega |u|^2\left(1-\mu^2\right)^2 W(x)\left(\frac{v_0}{v_1}\right)^\mu \mathrm{d}x \leq \int_\Omega \frac{|Pu|^2}{W(x)}\left(\frac{v_0}{v_1}\right)^\mu \mathrm{d}x\,. \tag{10.8}$$

Assume that for some $0<\mu<1$ we have

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|Pu|^2}{W(x)}\left(\frac{v_0}{v_1}\right)^\mu \mathrm{d}x < \infty.$$

Then letting $\mu \to 0$ (using the monotone and dominated convergence theorems) we obtain the following *Rellich-type inequality*:

$$\int_\Omega |u|^2 W(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \leq \int_\Omega \frac{|Pu|^2}{W(x)}\,\mathrm{d}x\,. \tag{10.9}$$

Example 10.6. Consider the Poisson equation in the punctured space $\Omega^\star = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $n \geq 3$ with the *optimal* Hardy-weight

$$W(x) := \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 |x|^{-2}.$$

The corresponding induced Riemannian metric is given by

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 := W(x)\sum_{i=1}^n (\,\mathrm{d}x_i)^2.$$

By Lemma 10.5, $\Omega^\star$ is complete in the above Agmon metric. By (10.8) and (10.9), for any $0 \leq \mu < 1$ the following Rellich-type inequality holds true

$$\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^4 (1-\mu^2)^2 \int_{\Omega^\star} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^{2+(n-2)\mu}} \,\mathrm{d}x \leq \int_{\Omega^\star} |\Delta u|^2 |x|^{2-(n-2)\mu} \,\mathrm{d}x \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega^\star). \tag{10.10}$$

It is known that $\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^4 \left(1-\mu^2\right)^2$ is the *best constant* for the above inequality, see [18, Theorem 3.14 and the references therein].

Corollary 10.7. *Assume that P is a symmetric subcritical operator in Ω, and let $W > 0$ be a Hardy-weight that is obtained by the supersolution construction. Then for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ the following Hardy-Rellich-type inequality holds true*

$$\int_\Omega |u|^2 W(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \leq \alpha \int_\Omega u P[u] W(x) \,\mathrm{d}x + (1-\alpha) \int_\Omega \frac{|Pu|^2}{W(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \tag{10.11}$$

Conjecture 10.8. *Assume that P is a symmetric subcritical operator in Ω, and let $W > 0$ be an optimal Hardy-weight. Fix $0 \leq \mu < 1$. Then $\lambda = 1$ is the best constant in the following Rellich-type inequality*

$$\lambda \int_\Omega |u|^2 \left(1-\mu^2\right)^2 W(x) \left(\frac{v_0}{v_1}\right)^\mu \mathrm{d}x \leq \int_\Omega \frac{|Pu|^2}{W(x)} \left(\frac{v_0}{v_1}\right)^\mu \mathrm{d}x \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \tag{10.12}$$

Moreover, $\lambda = 1$ is the best constant in the following Rellich-Hardy-type inequality

$$\lambda \int_\Omega |u|^2 W(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \leq \alpha \int_\Omega u P[u] W(x) \,\mathrm{d}x + (1-\alpha) \int_\Omega \frac{|Pu|^2}{W(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \tag{10.13}$$

10.4. **Decay of solutions and estimates of W near infinity.** The supersolution construction provides bounds for solutions near infinity in terms of the Green function G and a global solution u. In particular, we have

Lemma 10.9. *Let P be a subcritical operator in Ω, and let W be the corresponding optimal Hardy-weight in Ω associated to the pair (v_1, v_2), where v_1, v_2 are positive solutions of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω. Let v be a positive solution of the equation*

$$(P - V)v = 0$$

of minimal growth at infinity with respect to $P - V$ in Ω.

Suppose further that

$$V(x) \leq 4\alpha(1-\alpha)W(x) \qquad \text{in} \quad \Omega'$$

holds true for some $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, and some neighborhood Ω' of infinity in Ω. Then for any $1/2 \leq \beta < \alpha$ there exists a constant C such that the inequality

$$v(x) \leq C v_1^{1-\beta}(x) v_2^\beta(x)$$

holds true in a neighborhood of infinity of Ω.

Proof. The function $v_1^{1-\beta} v_2^{\beta}$ is a positive supersolution of the operator $P-V$ in a neighborhood of infinity of Ω. The claim then follows by the definition of positive solutions of minimal growth. $\square$

Example 10.10. Let Ω be a smooth bounded convex domain and u a solution of the equation

$$(P-V)u = 0,$$

of minimal growth in a neighborhood of infinity in Ω. Suppose further that for some $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, $V \leq \alpha(1-\alpha)\delta^{-2}(x)$ holds true in a neighborhood Ω' of infinity of Ω. Then

$$u(x) \leq C\delta(x)^{\alpha} \qquad \text{in } \Omega'.$$

In order to apply the Lemma 10.9 for the pair (u, G), where G is the Green function and u is a global solution satisfying (2.7), one needs to know the behavior of the optimal Hardy-weight W near infinity, and to compare pointwise V and W, if V is a (non-optimal) Hardy potential. In full generality, it seems hopeless to get an asymptotic of W at infinity, since ∇G might vanish on a nonempty set with an accumulation point at infinity in Ω (of course, it is expected that this set should be small).

However, in the symmetric case we have an asymptotic of W *in average* at infinity, as follows from Corollary 7.3, which, if u is normalized so that $u(0) = 1$, gives that

$$\int_{\{a \leq \frac{G}{u} \leq b\}} uGW \, d\nu = \frac{1}{4}(\log b - \log a).$$

Moreover, in average, we can compare W and any Hardy-weight V near infinity.

Proposition 10.11. *Suppose that P is symmetric and the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 are satisfied (with P, u, G and W as in the theorem). Let V be a nonnegative potential such that $P - V \geq 0$ in $\Omega^{\star}$. Then for every $1 < a < b < \infty$ (or $-\infty < a < b < -1$), we have*

$$\int_{\{a \leq \log \frac{G}{u} \leq b\}} uGV \, \mathrm{d}\nu \leq 5 \int_{\{a-1 \leq \log \frac{G}{u} \leq b+1\}} uGW \, \mathrm{d}\nu = \frac{5}{4}[\log(b+1) - \log(a-1)].$$

Proof. By performing a ground state transform, we may assume that $u = \mathbf{1}$. We start with the following inequality

$$\int_{\Omega^{\star}} V v^2 \, \mathrm{d}\nu \leq \int_{\Omega^{\star}} v \, P[v] \, \mathrm{d}\nu \qquad \forall v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega^{\star}),$$

which holds true by our assumption.

Fix $1 < a < b < \infty$, and let ψ be a smooth nonnegative cut-off function supported in $\{a-1 \leq \log G \leq b+1\}$, such that $\psi = 1$ on $\{a \leq \log G \leq b\}$.

Set $v := G^{1/2}\psi$, and recall that $(P-W)(G)^{1/2}=0$. Therefore, by (2.13) we have

$$\int_{\{a-1\leq \log G\leq b+1\}} v\,P[v]\,\mathrm{d}\nu = \int_{\{a-1\leq \log G\leq b+1\}} \big[GW\psi^2 - \frac{1}{2}\langle \nabla G, \nabla\psi^2\rangle_A + G\psi P[\psi]\big]\,\mathrm{d}\nu.$$

Now, integrate by part the last term to get

$$\int_{\{a\leq \log G\leq b\}} GV\,\mathrm{d}\nu \leq \int_{\{a-1\leq \log G\leq b+1\}} GW\psi^2\,\mathrm{d}\nu + \int_{\{a-1\leq \log G\leq b+1\}} G|\nabla\psi|_A^2\,\mathrm{d}\nu. \tag{10.14}$$

Consider the function ψ defined by

$$\psi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & x\in\{a\leq \log G\leq b\},\\ b+1-\log G(x) & x\in\{b\leq \log G\leq b+1\},\\ \log G(x)-a+1 & x\in\{a-1\leq \log G\leq a\},\\ 0 & \text{elswhere.}\end{cases}$$

Now, take a sequence $\{\psi_k\}\subset C_0^\infty(\Omega^\star)$ of smooth function $0\leq\psi_k\leq\psi$ which converges in $W^{1,2}$ to ψ. Since ψ is in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega^\star)$, we can find such a sequence $\{\psi_k\}$. Applying (10.14) to ψ_k and passing to the limit as $k\to\infty$ gives

$$\int_{\{a\leq \log G\leq b\}} GV\psi\,\mathrm{d}\nu \leq \int_{\{a-1\leq \log G\leq b+1\}} GW\psi^2\,\mathrm{d}\nu + \int_{\{a-1\leq \log G\leq b+1\}} G|\nabla\psi|_A^2\,\mathrm{d}\nu.$$

We use finally the fact that ψ is supported in $\{a-1\leq \log G\leq b+1\}$, that $0\leq\psi\leq 1$ and that $|\nabla\psi|_A^2\leq 4W$ to get the result. $\square$

It is natural to formulate the following conjecture about the pointwise asymptotic of the optimal Hardy-weight W.

Conjecture 10.12. *Let $Pu=-\Delta u+V(x)u+u$ be subcritical in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and assume that $\lim_{x\to\infty}V(x)=0$. If u is a positive solution of $Pu=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying* (2.7)*, then the optimal Hardy-weight W associated to the pair $(u,\,G)$ satisfies*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} W(x)=1.$$

Remark 10.13. In many cases the asymptotic of the Green function at infinity is known. Therefore, knowing the asymptotic of the optimal Hardy-weight W associated to a pair $(\mathbf{1},G)$ will lead to the asymptotic of $|\nabla G|_A$ at infinity, such information is rarely available.

10.5. **Regularization.** The main result of the our paper (Theorem 2.11) provides us with an optimal Hardy-weight W defined in the *punctured* domain $\Omega^\star$ rather in Ω. This drawback can be easily relaxed using the following regularization procedure. Let $\tilde W\leq W$ be a (locally) regular nonnegative potential in Ω such that $\tilde W=W$ outside a punctured neighborhood of the origin. Clearly, $P-\tilde W$ is subcritical in Ω. Let $V\in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ be a smooth nonzero nonnegative function such that $P-\tilde W-V$ is critical in Ω (see, Lemma 2.6). Then the potential $\hat{W} := \tilde{W} - V$ is critical in Ω, null-critical at infinity of Ω, and $\lambda_\infty(P, \hat{W}, \Omega) = 1$. Moreover, in the symmetric case, by Theorem 7.4, the corresponding spectrum and essential spectrum of $\hat{W}^{-1}P$ is equal $[1, \infty)$. So, $\hat{W}$ is an optimal Hardy-weight for P in Ω.

10.6. **The quasilinear case.** In this section, we briefly discuss some extensions of the previous results to the case of p-Laplacian type equations (for some related results see [2, 13, 23]). Throughout the present subsection we assume that $p \neq 2$. The celebrated p-Laplacian is the quasilinear elliptic operator

$$\Delta_p(u) := \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right).$$

Let $V \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ be a given function (potential), we consider the functional

$$Q_V(\phi) := \int_\Omega (|\nabla\varphi|^p + V|\varphi|^p)\,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \tag{10.15}$$

and the associated differential operator

$$Q'_V(u) := -\Delta_p(u) + V|u|^{p-2}u. \tag{10.16}$$

The notion of criticality and subcriticality of Q_V have been studied in this context, and we refer to [39] for an account on this. In particular, the Agmon-Allegretto-Piepenbrink theorem extends to this case [39, Theorem 2.3]. Due to the nonlinearity of the operator, if the potential V is nonzero it is likely that our supersolution construction will not yield in general an optimal weight, as we can see from the following result in the radially symmetric case.

Theorem 10.14. *Assume that the functional*

$$Q_V(\phi) := \int_\Omega (|\nabla\phi|^p + V(|x|)|\phi|^p)\,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \tag{10.17}$$

is subcritical in a radially symmetric domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where the potential V is radially symmetric. Suppose further that either $1 < p \leq 2$ and $V \geq 0$, or $p \geq 2$ and $V \leq 0$. Let u_0, u_1 be two linearly independent positive radially symmetric supersolutions of the equation $Q'_V(u) = 0$ in $\Omega^\star := \Omega \setminus \{0\}$.

Define the function

$$v_\alpha(|x|) := (v_1(|x|))^\alpha (v_0(|x|))^{1-\alpha} \qquad x \in \Omega^\star,$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and let

$$W_\alpha(t) := \alpha(1-\alpha)(p-1)\left|\left[\log\left(\frac{u_0(t)}{u_1(t)}\right)\right]'\right|^2 \left|[\log(u_\alpha(t))]'\right|^{p-2}.$$

Then v_α is a positive supersolution of the equation

$$Q'_{V-W_\alpha}(u) = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega^\star, \tag{10.18}$$

and the following improved inequality holds

$$Q_V(\phi) \geq \int W_\alpha|\phi|^p\,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega^\star).$$

Moreover, if $p \neq 2$ *and* V *is not identically zero, then for every* $\alpha \in (0,1)$ *the functional* Q_{V-W_α} *is* subcritical *in* $\Omega^\star$.

The proof of this theorem will appear somewhere else. Notice that in the case where $p \neq 2$, the supersolution construction yields a weight W_α which is not easy to optimize with respect to α. On the other hand, for the case of the p-Laplacian itself in a general domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we can take $u_0 = \mathbf{1}$, and thus optimize W_α:

Proposition 10.15. *Assume that* v *is a positive supersolution (resp. solution) of the equation* $-\Delta_p(u) = 0$ *in* Ω*. Then for* $\alpha \in (0,1)$*,* v^α *it is a positive supersolution (resp. solution) of the equation* $Q'_{W_\alpha}(u) = 0$ *in* Ω*, where*

$$W_\alpha := \alpha^{p-2}\alpha(\alpha-1)(p-1)\left|\frac{\nabla v}{v}\right|^p.$$

In particular, for the optimal value $\alpha = \frac{p-1}{p}$*, the following logarithmic Caccioppoli inequality takes place:*

$$\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p \int_\Omega \left|\frac{\nabla v}{v}\right|^p \varphi^p \,\mathrm{d}x \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla\varphi|^p \,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \tag{10.19}$$

where v *is any positive* p*-superharmonic function in* Ω.

We omit the proof of this Proposition. However, the following problem remains open:

Problem 10.16. *Let* $\Omega^\star := \Omega\backslash\{0\}$*, and assume that the functional* $\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p$ *is subcritical in* Ω*. Let* G *be the Green function for* $-\Delta_p$ *in* Ω *with a pole at zero, and assume that*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} G(x) = 0.$$

(for example, this holds if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$*, and* $p < n$*). Is the weight*

$$W = \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p \left|\frac{\nabla G}{G}\right|^p$$

an optimal Hardy-weight for the p*-Laplacian in* $\Omega^\star$*?*

Appendix A. Radially symmetric Schrödinger operators

In this Appendix we discuss the important family of radially symmetric Schrödinger operators defined on radially symmetric domains. The results of our paper obliviously apply to this case. On the other hand, since the technique we used throughout the paper is based on a one-variable approach, it is natural to consider this particular family of operators, and give an alternative direct proof of some parts of Theorem 1.3 for this case.

We consider the supersolution construction in the case of a nonnegative Schrödinger operator $P = -\Delta + V$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, (or a radially symmetric subdomain) where $n \geq 2$, and V is a radially symmetric potential.

Theorem A.1. *Consider a subcritical Schrödinger operator $P = -\Delta + V$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $n \geq 2$, and V is a radially symmetric potential. Let ψ be the unique global positive radial solution of the equation $Pu = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and g_0 the corresponding positive minimal Green function with a pole at 0. In the supersolution construction, take $G_0 := \sqrt{\psi g_0}$, and let*

$$W(r) := \frac{1}{4}\Big|\big[\log(g_0(r)/\psi(r))\big]'\Big|^2 \qquad r > 0$$

be the corresponding Hardy-weight.

Then the operator $P - W$ is null-critical in $\Omega^\star := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, $\lambda = 1$ is the best constant for the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega^\star} \Big(|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2\Big)\,\mathrm{d}x \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega^\star} W(|x|)\,u^2\,\mathrm{d}x \qquad u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega^\star). \tag{A.1}$$

Moreover, $\lambda_\infty(P, W, \Omega^\star) = 1$.

Remark A.2. 1. In the radially symmetric case, condition (1.5) always holds true. In particular, for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ we have, $\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{g_0(r)}{\psi(r)} = 0$.

2. The results of our paper gives an alternative proof of a recent result of Gesztesy and Ünal [20, Theorem 2.1].

Proof. By Murata's criterion for the subcriticality of radially symmetric Schrödinger operators [29, Theorem 3.1], we know that the operator P is subcritical in $\mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if

$$\int_1^\infty r^{1-n}(\psi(r))^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}r < \infty, \tag{A.2}$$

and in this case,

$$g_0(|x|) := \psi(|x|)\int_{|x|}^\infty r^{1-n}(\psi(r))^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}r$$

is the corresponding positive minimal Green function with a pole at 0 (up to a multiplicative constant).

Assume that (A.2) is satisfied, and take for the supersolution construction the function $G_0 := \sqrt{\psi g_0}$. So,

$$G_0(t) := \sqrt{\psi(t)g_0(t)} = \sqrt{(\psi(t))^2\int_t^\infty r^{1-n}(\psi(r))^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}r}. \tag{A.3}$$

Hence, G_0 is a positive global solution of the equation

$$(-\Delta + V - W)\,u = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega^\star, \tag{A.4}$$

where

$$W(r) := \frac{1}{4}\Big|\big[\log(g_0(r)/\psi(r))\big]'\Big|^2 = \frac{r^{2-2n}}{4\,[\psi(r)g_0(r)]^2}\,. \tag{A.5}$$

It follows from the criterion (A.2) that the operator $-\Delta+V-W$ is critical in $\Omega^\star$, with a ground state $G_0(t)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}\int_1^\infty t^{1-n}\Big[(\psi(t))^2\int_t^\infty r^{1-n}(\psi(r))^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}r\Big]^{-1}\mathrm{d}t \quad &= \quad \infty,\\ \text{and}& \\ \int_0^1 t^{1-n}\Big[(\psi(t))^2\int_t^\infty r^{1-n}(\psi(r))^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}r\Big]^{-1}\mathrm{d}t \quad &= \quad \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

But by (A.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_1^\infty t^{1-n}\Big[(\psi(t))^2\int_t^\infty r^{1-n}(\psi(r))^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}r\Big]^{-1}\mathrm{d}t\\ &=-\int_1^\infty\Big[\log(g_0(t)/\psi(t))\Big]'\,\mathrm{d}t=\log(g_0(1)/\psi(1))-\lim_{t\to\infty}\log(g_0(t)/\psi(t))\\ &\quad=\log\left[\int_1^\infty r^{1-n}(\psi(r))^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}r\right]-\lim_{t\to\infty}\log\left[\int_t^\infty r^{1-n}(\psi(r))^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}r\right]=\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $n\geq 2$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^1 t^{1-n}\Big[(\psi(t))^2\int_t^\infty r^{1-n}(\psi(r))^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}r\Big]^{-1}\mathrm{d}t\\ &=-\int_0^1\Big[\log(g_0(t)/\psi(t))\Big]'\,\mathrm{d}t=-\log(g_0(1)/\psi(1))+\lim_{t\to 0}\log(g_0(t)/\psi(t))\\ &\quad=-\log\left[\int_1^\infty r^{1-n}(\psi(r))^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}r\right]+\lim_{t\to 0}\log\left[\int_t^\infty r^{1-n}(\psi(r))^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}r\right]=\infty.\end{aligned}$$

So, (A.6) is satisfied and the operator $-\Delta+V-W$ is critical in $\Omega^\star$. Similarly, one shows that $-\Delta+V-W$ is null-critical in $\Omega^\star$.

Next, we investigate the bottom of the essential spectrum of the corresponding operator. Let $\tilde{W}$ be a positive continuous function in a neighborhood of the origin such that $\tilde{W}=W$ outside a ball B centered at the origin. We need to prove that $\lambda_\infty:=\lambda_\infty(P,\tilde{W},\mathbb{R}^n)=1$.

Clearly, $\lambda_\infty\geq 1$. On the other hand, since ψ is a positive solution of minimal growth (i.e. a principal solution) near 0 and not near ∞ of the equation

$$-(t^{n-1}v')'+t^{n-1}V(t)=0 \qquad t\in(0,\infty), \tag{A.7}$$

the oscillatory criterion [20, Theorem 2.1] implies that the equation

$$-(t^{n-1}v')'+t^{n-1}(V(t)+q(t))v=0 \qquad t\in(0,\infty), \tag{A.8}$$

is oscillatory near infinity if

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\left[q(t)t^{2n-2}(\psi(t))^4\left(\int_t^\infty r^{1-n}(\psi(r))^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}r\right)^2\right]$$
$$=\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{q(t)}{4W(t)}<-\frac{1}{4}\,, \quad (A.9)$$

where we have used (A.5).

In particular, (A.9) implies that for any $\varepsilon>0$ the equation

$$\Big(-\Delta+V-(1+\varepsilon)\tilde{W}\Big)u=0$$

does not admit a positive *radial* solution near infinity. Consequently, the equation $\Big(-\Delta+V-(1+\varepsilon)\tilde{W}\Big)u=0$ does not admit *any* positive solution near infinity. Hence $\lambda_\infty(-\Delta+V,\tilde{W},\mathbb{R}^n)=1$. □

Appendix B. Some more results concerning several ends

Here we study a particular case of Theorem 9.3 without using the Liouville comparison argument, an argument that applies only in the symmetric case. In particular, we recover, and in fact improve, the results of [11].

Assume that $x_1,\dots,x_N$ are given distinct points in Ω, and consider $\Omega^\star := \Omega\setminus\{x_1,\dots,x_N\}$. Let $u_i=G(\cdot,x_i)$ be the Green function with a pole at x_i, and u_0 be a positive solution of $Pu=0$ such that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{G(x,0)}{u_0(x)}=0.$$

We also define u_0 to be a positive solution of P such that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{G(x)}{u_0(x)}=0.$$

We consider the supersolution construction $v:=\prod_{j=0}^N u_j^{\alpha_j}$ with the functions $u_0,\dots,u_N$, and with weights $\alpha_i\in(0,\frac{1}{2}]$, where $i=0,\dots,N$, and $\sum_{i=0}^N\alpha_i=1$. We claim that in a certain number of cases, this gives a critical weight W.

First, consider the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and let us compute explicitly the Hardy-weight W for the case $\alpha_i=\frac{1}{N+1}$, where $i=0,\dots,N$. If $i\neq 0$, $j\neq 0$, then $\frac{u_i}{u_j}=\left|\frac{x-x_i}{x-x_j}\right|^{2-n}$, and therefore,

$$W(x)=\left(\frac{n-2}{N+1}\right)^2\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{|x-x_i|^2}+\frac{1}{(N+1)^2}\sum_{1\le i<j\le N}\left|\nabla\log\left(\frac{u_i(x)}{u_j(x)}\right)\right|^2.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\nabla \log\left(\frac{u_i(x)}{u_j(x)}\right)\right|^2 &= \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \left|2\frac{x-x_i}{|x-x_j|^2} - 2\frac{x-x_j}{|x-x_j|^2}\right|^2 \\ &= (n-2)^2 \frac{1}{|x-x_i|^4|x-x_j|^4} \left||x-x_j|^2(x-x_i) - |x-x_i|^2(x-x_j)\right|^2 \\ &= (n-2)^2 \frac{1}{|x-x_i|^4|x-x_j|^4} \big(|x-x_j|^4|x-x_i|^2 \\ &\quad + |x-x_i|^4|x-x_j|^2 - 2|x-x_i|^2|x-x_j|^2\langle x-x_i, x-x_j\rangle\big) \\ &= (n-2)^2 \frac{|x_i-x_j|^2}{|x-x_i|^2|x-x_j|^2}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$W(x) = \left(\frac{n-2}{N+1}\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|x-x_i|^2} + \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq N} \frac{|x_i-x_j|^2}{|x-x_i|^2|x-x_j|^2}\right). \tag{B.1}$$

We note that the Hardy inequality obtained by Cazacu-Zuazua [11, Theorem 1], which gives a *subcritical* Hardy-weight W_2, is obtained by performing the supersolution construction with uniform weights $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{N}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, and the positive solutions $u_1, \cdots, u_N$ (i.e. discarding u_0). Indeed, the previous computation shows that in this case the Hardy weight is

$$W_2(x) = \left(\frac{n-2}{N}\right)^2 \left(\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq N} \frac{|x_i-x_j|^2}{|x-x_i|^2|x-x_j|^2}\right). \tag{B.2}$$

We claim that the Hardy weight W given by (B.1) is a *critical* weight, but if $N > 1$, the constant is neither optimal at any of the x_i, nor at infinity.

Proposition B.1. *Let $P = -\Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, and $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be distinct points in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the preceding supersolution construction $v := \prod_{j=0}^N u_j^{\alpha_j}$ with $u_0 = \mathbf{1}$, $u_i = G_{-\Delta}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(\cdot, x_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, the weights $\alpha_i \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $i = 0, \ldots, N$, such that $\sum_{i=0}^N \alpha_i = 1$, and the Hardy-weight* (B.1).

Then $-\Delta - W$ is a critical in $\Omega^\star := \Omega \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$.

Proof. We may assume that $N > 1$. The first part of the proof is general and apply to a general P on Ω. We know that v is a positive solution of $(P-W)v = 0$, and therefore it is enough to prove that v has minimal growth at infinity in $\Omega^\star$, that is v has minimal growth at infinity in Ω and at each of the points x_i. By convention, we will set $x_0 = \infty$.

Fix $i \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$. Denote $\alpha := \alpha_i$. We want to show that v has minimal growth at x_i. Denote $\hat{u}_i := \left(\prod_{j\neq i} u_j^{\alpha_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$, then $\hat{u}_i$ is a positive solution of $(P - V)u = 0$, where

$$V := \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)^2}\left(\sum_{\substack{k<l\\ k,l\neq i}} \alpha_k\alpha_l \left|\nabla \log\left(\frac{u_k(x)}{u_l(x)}\right)\right|^2\right).$$

Now if we apply the supersolution construction to $\hat{u}_i$ (that is a solution of $(P-V)u=0$), and u_i (a solution of $Pu=0$), with a weight $\beta\in(0,1)$, we obtain (see Remark 3.3)

$$\left[P-(1-\beta)V-\beta(1-\beta)\tilde{W}\right] u_i^{\beta}\hat{u}_i^{1-\beta}=0.$$

For $\beta=\alpha$, we obtain

$$\left[P-(1-\alpha)V-\alpha(1-\alpha)\tilde{W}\right] v=0,$$

whence $W=(1-\alpha)V-\alpha(1-\alpha)\tilde{W}$. Similarly, for $\beta=1-\alpha$, we get

$$\left[P-\alpha V-\alpha(1-\alpha)\tilde{W}\right] u_i^{1-\alpha}\hat{u}_i^{\alpha}=0.$$

Write $L:=P-W$, and $w:=u_i^{1-\alpha}\hat{u}_i^{\alpha}$, then we have

$$Lv=0,\qquad \text{and } \left[L+(1-2\alpha)V\right]w=0,$$

and we want to deduce from it that v has minimal growth at infinity in $\Omega^\star$. Notice that

$$\lim_{x\to x_i}\frac{v}{w}=\lim_{x\to x_i}\left(\frac{\hat{u}_i}{u_i}\right)^{1-2\alpha}=0.$$

If w would be a (super)positive solution of $Lu=(P-W)u=0$ near x_i, then Proposition 4.1 will imply that v has minimal growth at x_i. However, instead w is only a subsolution of L (notice that by hypothesis, $1-2\alpha\geq 0$). We will show that in a neighborhood of x_i, we can find a positive solution $\tilde{w}$ of $L\tilde{w}=0$, such that $\tilde{w}(x)\geq w(x)$. Hence we will have

$$\lim_{x\to x_i}\frac{v}{\tilde{w}}=0,$$

and this will imply that v has minimal growth at x_i.

Define

$$h(x):=(1-2\alpha)\int_U G_L^U(x,y)V(y)w(y)\,\mathrm{d}y, \tag{B.3}$$

where G_L^U is the minimal positive Green function of L in a relatively compact neighborhood $U\subset\Omega\setminus\{x_0,\dots,\hat{x}_i,\dots,x_N\}$ of x_i in $\Omega^\star$ (hence, a sequence in U which goes to infinity in $\Omega^\star$ necessarily goes to x_i).

Let $\tilde{w}:=w+h$. Formally, it is obvious that $\tilde{w}$ is a positive solution of $Lu=0$ in a neighborhood of x_i, and that $\tilde{w}\geq w$ since $h\geq 0$. So, it remains to show is that indeed that the integral in (B.3) is finite.

Since the singularity of $G_L^U(x,\cdot)$ is locally integrable, we only need to show is that $G_L^U(x,\cdot)Vw$ is in L^1 around x_i. Since $G_L^U(x,\cdot)$ is a solution of $Lu=0$

of minimal growth at x_i, G_L^U is symmetric (i.e. $G_L^U(x,y) = G_L^U(y,x)$), and v is a positive solution of $Lu = 0$, we necessarily have

$$G_L^U(x,\cdot) \leq C(x)v$$

in a neighborhood of x_i. Consequently,

$$G_L^U(x,\cdot)w \leq C(x)u_i\hat{u}_i, \tag{B.4}$$

in a neighborhood of x_i. We distinguish two cases:

• First, assume that $i \neq 0$. Then $V(y) \sim 1$ and $\hat{u}_i(y) \sim 1$ when $y \to x_i$. Thus,

$$G_L^U(x,y)V(y)w(y) \leq C(x)u_i(y) \sim C(x)|y-x_i|^{2-n},$$

which is L^1 at x_i.

• Now, we treat the case $i = 0$. Then for fixed x, and y near infinity we find that

$$G_L^U(x,y)V(y)w(y) \leq C(x)G_{-\Delta}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(x,y)u_0(y)V(y).$$

We already know that for an optimal Hardy weight $\bar{W}$ with respect to the pair $(\mathbf{1}, G)$, the function $Gu_0\bar{W}$ is not integrable at infinity, but in many cases Gu_0V is integrable at infinity. In particular, in the of $P = -\Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, if $u_0 = \mathbf{1}$, then at infinity $V \sim r^{-4}$, hence $G_{-\Delta}^{\mathbb{R}^n}u_0V \sim r^{-n-2}$, which is indeed integrable at infinity. □

Remark B.2. For each $j = 1, \dots, N$, the Hardy-weight W in (B.1) satisfies

$$\lim_{x\to x_j} W(x)|x-x_j|^2 = C(n,N), \text{ where } C(n,N) := \frac{2}{N+1}C_H,$$

(so, $C(n,N) < C_H$ if $N > 1$, and W not optimal near x_j), and

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} W(x)|x|^2 = \frac{4}{(N+1)^2}C_H \leq C_H,$$

near infinity (so, it is not optimal near infinity).

We note that in [9] the obtained multipolar Hardy-weight W_1 satisfies

$$\lim_{x\to x_j} W_1(x)|x-x_j|^2 = C_1(n,N), \text{ where } C_1(n,N) := \frac{3N-1}{2N^2}C_H$$

(so, $C_1(n,N) < C(n,N) < C_H$ if $N > 1$, and W_1 not optimal near x_j), but like $C_H|x|^{-2}$ near infinity.

On the other hand, in [11] the obtained Hardy-weight W_2 (see(B.2)) behaves asymptotically near each singular point x_j, $j = 1, \dots, N$ like

$$\lim_{x\to x_j} W_2(x)|x-x_j|^2 = C_2(n,N), \text{ where } C_2(n,N) := \frac{2N-2}{N^2}C_H,$$

(so, $C_1(n,N) \leq C_2(n,N) < C(n,N)$ if $N \geq 3$), but like $|x|^{-4}$ near infinity (so, it is not optimal near infinity).

Similarly, we have.

Proposition B.3. *Consider a subcritical operator* P *in* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, *and let* $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ *be distinct points in* Ω. *Set* $\Omega^\star := \Omega \setminus \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$. *Let* $u_i := G_P^\Omega(\cdot, x_i)$ *be the Green function with a pole at* x_i, *and* u_0 *be a positive solution of* $Pu = 0$ *such that*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{G(x,0)}{u_0(x)} = 0.$$

More generally, consider a manifold $\Omega^\star$ *with ends* $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^N$. *Assume that for* $0 \le i \le N$ *and* $j \ne i$, *the function* u_i *are positive solutions of the equation* $Pu = 0$ *in* $\Omega^\star$ *of minimal growth near each end* x_j, *and*

$$\lim_{x\to x_i} \frac{u_j(x)}{u_i(x)} = 0 \qquad \forall j \ne i.$$

Consider the supersolution construction and the corresponding Hardy-weight given by

$$v_\alpha := \prod_{j=0}^N u_j^{\alpha_j} \qquad W_\alpha := \sum_{i<j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \left| \nabla \log \left(\frac{u_i}{u_j} \right) \right|_A^2,$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N)$ *is a multi-index such that* $0 < \alpha_j < 1/2$, *and* $\sum_{j=0}^N \alpha_j = 1$. *Assume further that near each* x_i *we have*

$$W_\alpha \sim \alpha_i \sum_{\substack{0\le j\le N \\ j\ne i}} \alpha_j \left| \nabla \log \left(\frac{u_i}{u_j} \right) \right|_A^2. \tag{B.5}$$

Then the corresponding Hardy weight is critical.

Proof. For a fixed i consider the one-parameter family

$$\beta_i(t) := \alpha_i + t, \quad \beta_j(t) := \alpha_j - \frac{\alpha_j}{1-\alpha_i} t \quad (j \ne i).$$

Then for every $t \in (0,\, 1-\alpha_i)$ we have $\sum \beta_j(t) = 1$ and

$$(P - W_\beta) v_\beta = 0.$$

Is can be easily checked that for any $j \ne i$ the function $\beta_i(t)\beta_j(t)$ has maximum at the point $t_M = 1/2 - \alpha_i$. In view of (B.5), the function $v_{\beta(t_M)}$ is a supersolution of the equation $(P - W_\alpha)u = 0$ near x_i. Furthermore, since $t_M > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{x\to x_i} \frac{v_\alpha(t)}{v_{\beta(t_M)}(x)} = 0.$$

Now notice that Proposition 4.1 holds true even when u_1 is just a supersolution. Thus, v_α has minimal growth at the end x_i and the lemma follows. $\square$

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank K. Tintarev who raised the main problem studied in this paper for valuable discussions, and M. Marcus for pointing out his paper [27]. The authors acknowledge the support of the Israel Science Foundation (grants No. 587/07 and 963/11) founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities. B. D. was supported in part by a Technion fellowship.

References

[1] Adimurthi, Best constants and Pohozaev identity for Hardy-Sobolev type operators, preprint, 2012.

[2] Adimurthi, and A. Sekar, Role of the fundamental solution in Hardy-Sobolev-type inequalities, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **136** (2006), 1111–1130.

[3] S. Agmon, "Lectures on Exponential Decay of Solutions of Second-Order Elliptic Equations: Bounds on Eigenfunctions of N-body Schrödinger Operators", Mathematical Notes, **29**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1982.

[4] S. Agmon, On positivity and decay of solutions of second order elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds, in "Methods of Functional Analysis and Theory of Elliptic Equations", Liguori, Naples, 1983, pp. 19–52.

[5] A. Ancona, Some results and examples about the behavior of harmonic functions and Green's functions with respect to second order elliptic operators, *Nagoya Math. J.* **165** (2002), 123–158.

[6] A. A. Balinsky, W. D. Evans, and R. T. Lewis, Hardy's inequality and curvature. arXiv1101.2331.

[7] G. Barbatis, S. Filippas, and A. Tertikas, A unified approach to improved L^p Hardy inequalities with best constants, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 2169–2196.

[8] J. Barta, Sur la vibration fondamentale d'une membrane, *Comptes rendus Acad. Sci. Paris* **204** (1937), 472–473.

[9] 6. R. Bosi, J. Dolbeault, and M. J. Esteban, Estimates for the optimal constants in multipolar Hardy inequalities for Schrödinger and Dirac operators, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **7** (2008), 533–562.

[10] G. Carron, Inégalités de Hardy sur les variétés riemaniennes non-compactes, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **76** (1997), 883–891.

[11] C. Cazacu, and E. Zuazua, Improved multipolar Hardy inequalities, preprint, 2012.

[12] C. Cowan, Optimal Hardy inequalities for general elliptic operators with improvements, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **9** (2010), 109–140.

[13] L. D'Ambrosio, Hardy-type inequalities related to degenerate elliptic differential operators, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **4** (2005), 451–486.

[14] B. Devyver, On the finiteness of the Morse index for Schrödinger operators, to appear in *Manuscripta Math.*, arXiv1011.3390.

[15] B. Devyver, M. Fraas, and Y. Pinchover, Optimal Hardy-type inequalities for elliptic operators, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **350** (2012), 475–479.

[16] M. M. Fall, and R. Musina, Hardy-Poincaré inequalities with boundary singularities, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* (in press).

[17] D. Fischer-Colbrie, On complete minimal surfaces with finite Morse index in three manifolds, *Inventiones Mathematicae (1)* **82** (1985), 121–132.

[18] N. Ghoussoub, and A. Moradifam, Bessel pairs and optimal Hardy and Hardy-Rellich inequalities, *Math. Ann.* **349** (2011), 1–57.

[19] N. Ghoussoub, and A. Moradifam, Functional Inequalities: New Perspectives and New Applications, arXiv1201.2976.

[20] F. Gesztesy, and M. Ünal, Perturbative oscillation criteria and Hardy-type inequalities, *Math. Nachr.* **189** (1998), 121–144.

[21] A. Kasue, On a lower bound for the first eigenvalue, *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup.* **17** (1984), 31–44.

[22] C. E. Kenig, Restriction theorems, Carleman estimates, uniform Sobolev inequalities and unique continuation, *in* "Harmonic Analysis and Partial Differential Equations" (El Escorial, 1987), 69–90, Lecture Notes in Math., 1384, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[23] I. Kombe, and M. Ozaydin, Improved Hardy and Rellich inequalities on Riemannian manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361** (2009), 6191–6203.

[24] R. T. Lewis, J. Li, and Y. Li, A geometric characterization of a sharp Hardy inequality, arXiv1103.5429.

[25] P. Li, and J. Wang, Weighted Poincaré inequality and rigidity of complete manifolds, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **39** (2006), 921–982.

[26] V. Liskevich, S. Lyakhova, and V. Moroz, Positive solutions to singular semilinear elliptic equations with critical potential on cone-like domains. *Adv. Differential Equations* **11** (2006), 361–398.

[27] M. Marcus, Local behaviour of singular solutions of elliptic equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* (3) **19** (1965), 519–561.

[28] M. Marcus, V. J. Mizel, and Y. Pinchover, On the best constant for Hardy's inequality in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), 3237–3255.

[29] M. Murata, Structure of positive solutions to $(-\Delta + V)u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986), 869–943.

[30] M. Murata, Semismall perturbations in the Martin theory for elliptic equations, *Israel J. Math.* **102** (1997), 29–60.

[31] R. D. Nussbaum, and Y. Pinchover, On variational principles for the generalized principal eigenvalue of second order elliptic operators and some applications, *J. Anal. Math.* **59** (1992), 161–177.

[32] Y. Pinchover, On criticality and ground states of second-order elliptic equations II, *J. Differential Equations* **87** (1990), 353–364.

[33] Y. Pinchover, On positive Liouville theorems and asymptotic behavior of solutions of Fuchsian type elliptic operators, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. Anal. Non Linéaire* **11** (1994), 313–341.

[34] Y. Pinchover, On positivity, criticality, and the spectral radius of the shuttle operator for elliptic operators, *Duke Math. J.* **85** (1996),431–445.

[35] Y. Pinchover, Topics in the theory of positive solutions of second-order elliptic and parabolic partial differential equations, *in* "Spectral Theory and Mathematical Physics: A Festschrift in Honor of Barry Simon's 60th Birthday", eds. F. Gesztesy, et al., Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **76**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007, 329–356.

[36] Y. Pinchover, A Liouville-type theorem for Schrödinger operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **272** (2007), 75–84.

[37] Y. Pinchover, and K. Tintarev, Existence of minimizers for Schrödinger operators under domain perturbations with application to Hardy's inequality, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **54** (2005), 1061–1074.

[38] Y. Pinchover, K. Tintarev, A ground state alternative for singular Schrödinger operators, *J. Functional Analysis*, **230** (2006), 65–77.

[39] Y. Pinchover, and K. Tintarev, Ground state alternative for p-Laplacian with potential term, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **28** (2007), 179–201.

[40] R.G. Pinsky, "Positive Harmonic Functions and Diffusion", Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **45**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

Baptiste Devyver, Department of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel
E-mail address: `baptiste.devyver@univ-nantes.fr`

Martin Fraas, Theoretische Physik, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland
E-mail address: `martin.fraas@gmail.com`

Yehuda Pinchover, Department of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel
E-mail address: `pincho@techunix.technion.ac.il`